Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 1 of 121 PageID #:1

FEB 0 7 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MICHAEL W. DOBBINSFOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DIVISION

LESUIE, ERIC DANIEL, JAVY JUNIOR AND JOSELYN DELGADO, minors, by their parent and next friend, Erika Delgado; ANDIE, LIZA AND MARIBEL GARCIA, minors, by their	05C 0760
parent and next friend, Maria Garcia; KAREN, RODOLFO AND KIARA TAPIA, minors, by their parent and next friend, Marielena Montoya) No. JUDGE GETTLEMAN
Plaintiffs,	ý
v.	MAGISTRATE JUDGE MASON
BOARD OF EDUCATION FOR ILLINOIS SCHOOL DISTRICT U-46,)))
Defendant.)

COMPLAINT

Date: February 7, 2005

Robert C. Howard
Carol R. Ashley
William W. Thomas
FUTTERMAN & HOWARD, CHARTERED
122 S. Michigan Ave.
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60603
312-427-3600

Table of Contents

1.	INTRO	ODUCTION
Π.	JURIS	SDICTION AND VENUE4
ШĬ.	PART	TES4
IV.	CLAS	S ALLEGATIONS 5
V.	BACK	GROUND FACTS7
	Α.	OCR and ISBE Findings that District Failed to Properly Provide Services to LEP Students
	В.	Recent School Construction
	C.	Current District Data9
VI.	SUBS	TANTIVE ALLEGATIONS12
	A.	Prior to the Start of the 2004-05 School Year the District Placed Inequitable Student Assignment and Transportation Burdens on Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and Other Minority Students
	В.	The 2004-05 Redistricting Plan Continues the District's Past Practices of Placing Inequitable Burdens on Hispanic and Hispanic LEP Students
		The District Rushed the Adoption of Redistricting Plan14
		The District Failed to Consider LEP Issues in the Development of Redistricting Plan
		Selection of Schools for Closing Defies Data and Increases the Degree of Racial Segregation
		The District's Stated Purposes for Redistricting Are Not Supportable and the Proposed Ameliorative Measures Are Ineffective and Pretextual 19
		The Board's Actions Will Increase the Likelihood of Closures of "Targeted Schools" in the Near Future22

Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 3 of 121 PageID #:3

		Less Burdensome Alternatives Exist
		Current Modifications to Redistricting Plan Are Insufficient
	C.	Redistricting Plan Negatively Impacts the District's Delivery of LEP Services
	D.	The District Fails to Properly Provide LEP Services
COUN	TT 1 Equal	Education Opportunity Act of 1974
COUN	NT 2: 14th <i>A</i>	Amendment of the United States Constitution27
COUN	NT 3: The E	qual Protection Clause of the Illinois Constitution
COUI	NT 4: Illinoi	is Civil Rights Act of 2003
DEM	AND F	OR JUDGMENT30
PRAS	YER FO	OR RELIEF30

Now come Plaintiffs, Hispanic parents and students as represented by their counsel Futterman & Howard, Chartered in their Complaint against the Illinois School District U-46 Board of Education ("Board," "Defendant," "U-46," or "District") for failure to provide equal educational opportunities and for racial discrimination.

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This action is brought to redress rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed by the Constitution and laws of the United States and the State of Illinois, particularly the rights of students to receive equal educational opportunities and attend a school system free of discrimination.
- 2. This action seeks relief for Hispanic¹ students from the harms and burdens resulting from the District's delivery of Limited English Proficiency ("LEP") services under the District's previous and current student assignment systems.
- 3. This action also seeks relief for Hispanics from the harms and burdens resulting from the District's discriminatory actions against Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minority² students under the District's previous and current student assignment systems.
- 4. Prior to the District's implementation of its new Redistricting Plan ("Redistricting Plan"), which went into effect at the start of the 2004-05 school year, Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minority students suffered the following conditions:
 - a. school siting and closure decisions by the Board caused the disproportionate displacement of minority students, particularly Hispanic LEP students.

^{&#}x27;Although the named Plaintiffs listed in this Complaint identify themselves as "Latino," the Illinois State Board of Education racial/ethnic categories only provide for a "Hispanic" designation. Similarly, ISBE uses the term 'black" instead of African American. Accordingly, the named Plaintiffs and the potential class they represent are referred to as "Hispanic" and "African American" students are referred to as "black" throughout this Complaint.

²In this Complaint "other minority" and "other minorities" references students from other racial groups protected under federal and state civil rights laws but primarily refers to black students.

- b. minority students, particularly LEP students, were bused to schools at disproportionate rates and bused farther distances than white students.
- c. less stability in school assignments was afforded to Hispanic LEP students as compared to white majority students, due to the District's willingness to change Hispanic LEP students' school assignments as frequently as year-to-year.
- 5. Under the newly implemented Redistricting Plan, Hispanic, Hispanic LEP and other minority students suffer the following additional conditions:
 - a. The District closed two LEP centers in Hispanic neighborhoods, thereby diminishing available neighborhood seats for qualifying LEP students.
 - b. LEP students ready to transition into regular education are being held in LEP classes because there are insufficient scats in regular education classes in minority neighborhood schools under the Redistricting Plan.
 - c. When LEP classes are full due to the impact of the Redistricting Plan's new school boundaries, parents of LEP students are forced to choose between waiving their children's rights to LEP instruction in order to attend their neighborhood school or choosing to send their children outside their neighborhood if they want their children to receive LEP services.
 - d. LEP students are required to move from school to school year to year in order to obtain access to LEP services.
- 6. Under the Redistricting Plan the numbers of low-income and LEP students will dramatically increase in several U-46 schools, creating the likelihood that additional schools will fall on the Illinois State Board of Education ("ISBE") "watch" and "warning" lists of inadequately performing schools and that one or more of these schools may be closed as a result in the near future.
- 7. Additionally, under the Redistricting Plan racial segregation increases dramatically in portions of U-46.
- 8. Currently, in the District other harmful burdens are also placed on Hispanic and Hispanic LEP students:

- a. Hispanic LEP students are impermissibly segregated from regular education students for all instruction rather than specific educational subjects and purposes.
- b. Hispanic LEP students are denied proper access to special education referrals, reviews, and services.
- 9. As a result of these conditions, Hispanic LEP students experience lesser educational opportunities than white students. One aspect is that instability of assignments provided to Hispanic LEP students interferes with and impedes their abilities to overcome language barriers.
- 10. Likewise, the District's failure to provide adequate special education services and to provide an integrated educational environment also interferes with and impedes students' abilities to overcome language barriers.
- 11. Indeed, the District has stated that one of the purposes of the Redistricting Plan is to "end forced diversity." The phrase "end forced diversity," however, is a misnomer. First, while the redistricting reduces the busing of Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minorities, it by no means ends "forced" busing. Second, the manner in which the Redistricting Plan is implemented causes the creation of new "forced" burdens for Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minorities.
- 12. One root problem with the Redistricting Plan is insufficient capacity in minority neighborhood schools. In other words, the District adopted a strict neighborhood school attendance student assignment policy knowing there was not sufficient capacity in minority neighborhood schools. Thus, Hispanic and other minorities will eventually bear the burden of being bused out of their neighborhoods to white neighborhood schools.
- 13. The District's continuing pattern and practice of denying Hispanic LEP students equal educational opportunities evidences impermissible discrimination.

Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 7 of 121 PageID #:7

14. After many unsuccessful community attempts to secure corrective action from the

Board, Plaintiffs now bring their concerns to the Court in this Complaint.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

15. The action arises pursuant to the Equal Education Opportunity Act codified as 20

U.S.C. §1701, et seq.; 42 U.S.C. §1983 for the deprivation of Plaintiffs' rights under the Fourteenth

Amendment to the Constitution of the United States; the Equal Protection Clause of the Constitution

of the State of Illinois; and the Illinois Civil Rights Act of 2003, 740 ILCS 23/5. This Court has

jurisdiction to hear the claims under 28 U.S.C. §1331, 28 U.S.C. §1343(3), 28 U.S.C. §1367, and

28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202.

16. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)(1) and (2) in that a

substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim took place here, and in that the Board of

Education of Illinois School District U-46 resides in this district.

III. PARTIES

17. Plaintiffs are Hispanic parents and children who are adversely impacted by the actions

of the Defendant which are the subject of this Complaint.

Parent(s):

Erika Delgado

Child(ren):

Leslie Delgado

Eric Daniel Delgado

Javy Junior Delgado

Joselyn Delgado

4

Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 8 of 121 PageID #:8

Parent(s):

Maria Garcia

Child(ren):

Andie Garcia Liza Garcia Maribel Garcia

Parent(s):

Marielena Montoya

Child(ren):

Karen Tapia Rodolfo Tapia

Kiara Tapia

18. The Hispanic students listed in paragraph 17 are being and will be adversely affected by the actions of the District, including, but not limited to, the failure to provide equal educational opportunities and failure to provide a school system free of discrimination. These students reside in District U-46 and are minors who bring claims through their parents as next friends.

- 19. Plaintiffs bring this action on their own behalf, and on behalf of a class of all similarly-situated U-46 students pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.
- 20. Defendant, the Board of Education for Illinois School District U-46, is a body politic and school district of the State of Illinois organized and operating in the Counties of Cook, DuPage, and Kane and the communities of Bartlett, Carol Stream, Elgin, Hanover Park, Hoffman Estates, Schaumburg, South Elgin, St. Charles, Streamwood, Wayne, and West Chicago. The Board of Education is charged with and responsible for the operation of public schools within U-46 and, at all times relevant to this Complaint, was acting in that capacity under the color of state law.

IV. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiffs bring this class action on their own behalf and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(2), on behalf of a class consisting of all

Hispanic U-46 students, including Hispanic LEP students (through their parents as next friends) (hercinafter "the Class").³

- Hispanic U-46 students whose parents maintain undocumented immigrant status receive the same legal protection as Hispanic students whose parents maintain documented immigrant status. *Doe v. Plyler*, 458 F. Supp. 569, *affirmed* 628 F.2d 448 (E.D. Tex. 1978), *affirmed* 457 U.S. 202, 102 S.Ct. 2382, 72 L.Ed.2d 786 (1982), *rehearing denied* 458 U.S. 1131, 103 S.Ct. 14, 73 L.Ed.2d 1401 (1982). Thus, children of undocumented immigrants are included in the group that is similarly situated to the Plaintiffs.
- 23. This action is properly maintainable as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) for the following reasons:
 - a. The Class that Plaintiffs seek to represent is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The proposed Class consists of approximately 14,000 U-46 students.
 - b. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to the Class, including but not limited to the following:
 - i. Whether the District's pre 2004-05 LEP practices were implemented in an improper manner.
 - ii. Whether the District's LEP practices under the Redistricting Plan are implemented in an improper manner.
 - iii. Whether the District's pre-2004-05 student assignments provided Hispanic LEP unequal educational opportunities.
 - iv. Whether the District's student assignments under the Redistricting Plan provide Hispanic LEP students unequal educational opportunities.

³Counsel for Plaintiffs are in the process of investigating claims of non-Hispanic LEP students. If warranted, an amended Complaint will include class allegations on behalf of non-Hispanic LEP students.

- v. Whether Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minority students have suffered educational harms as a result of the District's student assignment and educational practices.
- c. The claims of the representative parties are typical of the claims of all members of the Class.
- d. The representative parties and their counsel will fairly and adequately represent the Class. Additionally, Plaintiffs have no conflicts with other Class members and will fully and fairly represent the interests of the Class. Class Counsel are experienced in class action litigation and matters concerning access to equal educational opportunities.
- 24. The Class, as defined herein, is certifiable under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) in that Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

V. BACKGROUND FACTS

- A. OCR and ISBE Findings that District Failed to Properly Provide Services to LEP Students
- 25. In the early 1990's the District was selected for a proactive compliance review by the United States Department of Education's Office of Civil Rights ("OCR").
- 26. The compliance review was conducted to determine whether the District was complying with federal laws and regulations in the delivery of language services, particularly in regard to Hispanic LEP students.
- 27. As a result of its investigation, OCR required the District to modify its LEP services.

 The OCR requirements included, but were not limited to, the following:
 - a. to develop and maintain specific identification, entrance, and exit criteria for its LEP services and students;

- b. to provide transition services for students ready to exit LEP services and enter into regular education classes at any time during the school year;
- c. to monitor students transitioned from LEP services to regular education classes for at least one year and, if necessary, to provide adequate education opportunities for students, permit students to return to LEP instruction; and
- d. to provide annual monitoring reports to OCR.
- 28. To effectuate OCR's requirements and the District's commitment to modify its LEP services, the District entered into a resolution agreement with OCR several months after the conclusion of the OCR investigation.
 - 29. As recently as 2001 the OCR was still actively monitoring District U-46.4
- 30. In addition, in approximately 2000 the Illinois State Board of Education ("ISBE") notified the District that it was not appropriately referring, identifying, and providing LEP students for special education services.

B. Recent School Construction

- 31. In 2000 the voters in the U-46 boundaries approved a referendum for school construction.
- 32. Ultimately, the District built three new elementary school sites, commonly referred to as "Schools D, E, and F," in majority white neighborhoods. In addition the District also built a new middle school and high school which were also built in predominately majority white neighborhoods.

⁴At the time of this filing counsel for Plaintiffs was unable to ascertain the District's current relationship with OCR.

- 33. While school additions for schools in minority neighborhoods were included in the referendum and construction there was still insufficient capacity in minority neighborhood schools after the recent construction efforts.
- 34. Once the new schools were set to open the District developed a Redistricting Plan with strict neighborhood attendance areas. Effectively, the Redistricting Plan limits the number of elementary age Hispanic and other minority students who will have access to the new educational facilities.

C. Current District Data

- 35. The District, as of the 2003-04 school year, had the basic characteristics set below:⁵
 - a. The total cnrollment was approximately 38,285 students.
 - b. The racial/ethnic composition of students Districtwide was as follows:

	<u>Percent</u>
Majority	49.3%
Hispanic	36.3%
Black	7.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7.0%

- c. The percent low income was 34.4%.
- d. The number of Limited English Proficiency was 24.6% or 9,418 students. By far, Hispanic students constituted the vast majority of LEP students in the District.

⁵Statistics are based on information provided by the Illinois State Board of Education ("ISBE") "2004 District Report Card" for U-46. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, the District's Fall Housing Report submitted during the Fall of 2004 was unavailable from ISBE.

e. There were 51 schools in the District:

Elementary 39
Middle 7
High Schools⁶ 4
Alternative High School 1

f. There were several U-46 schools on the ISBE academic watch or warning lists:

Early Warning Status Academic Watch Status

Elgin High Ellis Middle Streamwood High Larsen Middle

Channing Memorial Elementary Hillcrest Elementary

Huff Elementary McKinley Elementary Parkwood Elementary

g. The total budgeted operating revenue of the District for the 2003-04 school year was \$319,115,761. The total budgeted operating funds expenditure for the District during the same period was \$416,430,448.

36. At the start of the 2004-05 school year⁷ the District opened three new elementary schools and currently operates the following schools:

Pre-K-Elementary	40
Middle	8
High Schools	4
Alternative High School	1

⁶For the purposes of this Complaint, Plaintiffs, through their counsel, have not yet reviewed potential claims regarding U-46 middle and high schools. However, Plaintiffs will continue their investigation and, if deemed appropriate, will amend this Complaint to include allegations regarding all the U-46 schools, including the District's alternative high school, Gifford Street School.

⁷Information for the 2004-05 school year was obtained from the "District's U-46 Facts At-A-Glance" on the District's official website.

37. For the 2004-5 school year several U-46 schools remain and additional schools have been added to the ISBE academic watch or warning lists:

Academic Watch Status Early Warning Status Bartlett High Elgin High Streamwood High Abbott Middle Ellis Middle Kimball Middle Larsen Middle Tefft Middle Hillcrest Elementary Canton Middle Huff Elementary Century Oaks McKinley Elementary Channing Memorial Elementary Parkwood Elementary Harriet Gifford Elementary Highland Elementary Illinois Park Elementary⁸ Lowrie Elementary Ontarioville Elementary

38. As of the 2004-05 school year, the District serves 11 communities in three counties, Cook, DuPage, and Kane, in Illinois:

Community	# of Schools
Bartlett ⁹	9
Carol Stream	1
Elgin	22
Hanover Park	4
Hoffman Estates	2
Schaumburg and South Elgin	4
St. Charles and Streamwood	10
Wayne	1
West Chicago	0

⁸Illinois Park was one of the schools closed by the District in the Redistricting process.

⁹For the past several years some communities have considered separating from District U-46. In November 2004, for instance, the Bartlett community passed an advisory referendum to pursue separation from District U-46.

39. In terms of the demographics of the communities constituting District U-46, the largest and most significant minority populations reside in Hanover Park, Elgin, Streamwood, and West Chicago. *See* below and Exhibit 1, Racial Composition of U-46 Communities.

<u>Town</u>	% Minority
Bartlett	7.49
Carol Stream	14.27
Elgin	41.12
Hanover Park	32.87
Hoffman Estates	14.88
Schaumburg	8.64
South Elgin	12.91
St. Charles	7.16
Streamwood	20.62
Wayne	4.12
West Chicago	50.28

40. On information and belief, currently the seven-person Board of Education for U-46 does not include a Hispanic member and also did not include a Hispanic Board member at the time of the Redistricting efforts. During the time of the redistricting and presently, one African American serves on the Board of Education.

VI. SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

- A. Prior to the Start of the 2004-05 School Year the District Placed Inequitable Student Assignment and Transportation Burdens on Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and Other Minority Students.
- 41. District student enrollment for at least the last 25 years has consistently included a significant number of LEP students.
- 42. District student enrollment for at least the last 25 years has consistently included a significant number of Hispanic students.

- 43. For at least the last 25 years the District's LEP population has been predominantly Hispanic.
- 44. Despite steadily increasing Hispanic and Hispanic LEP populations, the District failed to plan for or provide sufficient capacity in minority neighborhoods to accommodate students.
 - 45. Prior to the Redistricting Plan the District also operated racially identifiable schools.
- 46. Using a definition for racial identifiability of +/-15% of the combined Hispanic and black District student population at the elementary (approximately 44%) and middle school (also approximately 44%) levels, the conditions present in the District during its Redistricting process evidence a pattern of racially identifiable schools. Of the District's 40 elementary schools, 30 schools were racially identifiable for the 2003-04 school year. Of the District's seven middle schools, four were racially identifiable for the 2003-04 school year.

Racial Identifiability of U-46 Elementary Schools (Range 29-59%), 2003-04

<u>Majority</u>	Within Range	<u>Minority</u>
Bartlett	Century Oaks	Channing Memorial
Centennial	Clinton	Garfield
Glenbrook	Coleman	Harriet Gifford
Hanover Countryside	Creekside	Heritage
Hawk Hollow	Fox Meadow	Highland
Horizon	Laurel Hill	Hillcrest Sheridan
Liberty	Lords Park	Huff
Nature Ridge	Oakhill	Illinois Park
Otter Creek	Ridge Circle	Lowrie
Prairieview	Woodland Heights	McKinley
Spring Trail		Ontarioville
Sycamore Trails		Parkwood
Wayne		Streamwood
-		Sunnydale
		Washington

¹⁰On information and belief, for the 2003-04 school year the racial composition for minority students at the elementary school level was 36.6% Hispanic and 7.2% black and at the middle school level is 33.4% Hispanic and 11% black.

Racial Identifiability of U-46 Middle Schools (Range 29-59%), 2003-04

MajorityMinorityIn ComplianceEastviewEllisAbbottLarsenCantonTefftKimball

- 47. Given its previous relationship with OCR and the ISBE, the District possessed a heightened awareness of its on-going duty to provide equal educational opportunities to Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minority students.
- 48. Despite its duty and heightened awareness, the District again and again has put the interests of its white students above that of Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minority students:
 - a. From the mid-1970's until the end of the 2003-04 school year, the District closed schools in minority neighborhoods, failed to sufficiently build additions onto existing schools in minority neighborhoods, and failed to sufficiently build new schools in minority neighborhoods, despite data that showed stable or increasing populations in the same neighborhoods.
 - b. After failing to provide adequate capacity in minority neighborhoods, the District transported Hispanic, Hispanic LEP and other minority students out of their neighborhoods to available seats in white neighborhood schools, thereby placing the brunt of transportation burdens upon minority students.
 - B. The 2004-05 Redistricting Plan Continues the District's Past Practices of Placing Inequitable Burdens on Hispanic and Hispanic LEP Students.

The District Rushed the Adoption of Redistricting Plan

- 49. In late 2003 the District announced it intended to develop a redistricting plan.
- 50. Approximately in mid-January 2004, the Demographic Report by McKibben and Gann, a new attendance boundary map, and the recommendation to close two elementary schools, Woodland Heights and Century Oaks, were presented to the Board. See Exhibit 2, Executive Summary of Demographic Report. Simultaneously, the same materials were referred to the District

Administration for review and assigned for processing through the Enrollment & Facilities ("E & F") Committee.

- 51. Approximately two weeks before adopting the Redistricting Plan, the Board substituted for school closure Illinois Park, a school with a predominantly minority population and K-6 LEP program, in place of Century Oaks, a school with a larger white student population.
- 52. By approximately March 15, the proposed boundaries had been rushed through the E & F Committee, the Citizens Advisory Committee ("CAC"), perfunctory public meetings, and Board adoption and approval (6-1).
 - 53. In its final form, the Redistricting Plan¹¹ consisted of the following:
 - a. Redefining attendance boundaries, with all elementary and middle school student assignment changes effective for the 2004-05 school year;
 - b. Closing two elementary schools, Woodland Heights and Illinois Park;
 - c. Opening three elementary schools in new buildings, schools "D," "F," and "E;" and,
 - d. Opening a new middle school.
- 54. In preparing to open three new elementary schools outside of minority neighborhoods for the start of the 2004-05 school year, the District planned to enforce a strict neighborhood attendance boundary assignment system, thereby permitting only students living in white neighborhoods to almost exclusively reap the benefits of the new construction, despite the fact that all property tax-paying residents within the District boundaries contributed to the building of the

¹¹The term Redistricting Plan is used loosely in this Complaint. Redistricting plans typically include detailed information, including specified goals and procedures. The U-46 plan consisted only of a map and demography study.

schools and despite that fact many minority neighborhood schools were and continue to be overcrowded.¹²

- 55. Along the way, the Board ignored outcries and concerns from the community, including several officials from the City of Elgin, the editorial boards for the two major newspapers (the Courier and the Daily Herald), the teachers' union for U-46, and several members of the CAC. To slow down the process in order to develop a more appropriate plan for all U-46 students, including consideration of ethnic and socio-economic diversity in schools.
- 56. The District's recent student assignment efforts cannot be characterized as "ending forced diversity." Rather, the new efforts, at best, can be characterized as reducing some transportation burdens but creating new and different educational burdens for Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minority students.

The District Failed to Consider LEP Issues in the Development of Redistricting Plan

57. On information and belief, the District instructed Jerome McKibben, of Gann-McKibben Demographic Consulting, the firm retained to conduct a demography study of U-46, not to review racial and ethnic information in conjunction with a demographic analysis. These instructions to McKibben, on information and belief, were made despite information from the District's counsel that race and ethnicity were legitimate and permissible factors for review under a redistricting effort.

¹²After counsel for named Plaintiffs raised concerns over the District's failure to provide for voluntary transfers under the Redistricting plan, the District has changed its policy to permit students attending schools with high percentages of low-income students to transfer to under-capacity schools. At this time, it is unclear whether the District has actually made this option available to families.

- 58. Consideration of race and ethnicity in a school district's demography study is consistent with current federal case law. The United States Supreme Court recently held that a compelling state interest exists in the attainment of diverse student bodies in educational institutions. *Grutter v. Bollinger*, 539 U.S. 306, 123 S.Ct. 2325, 2339-40 (2003). The Supreme Court further stated that the benefits of diversity are "substantial" and include: promoting cross-racial understanding; breaking down racial stereotypes; promoting learning outcomes and better preparing students to function in an increasingly diverse workforce and society. *Id.*
- 59. Reviewing race and ethnicity for growth purposes is not inconsistent with the District's stated goal of establishing neighborhood schools. Even where attendance zones for student enrollments are to be designed by neighborhoods, future enrollments will be impacted significantly by differences in rates of relocation, live annual births, grade retention, and dropout, which differ from racial group to racial group and ethnic group to ethnic group.
- 60. Ironically, McKibben and Gann provided a *gender* distribution analysis but no information on the racial or ethnic population of the city, its suburbs, or the public schools.
- 61. Ultimately, use of the McKibben and Gann Report as the basis for redistricting may result in unstable attendance zones since the steady growth of the Hispanic and Hispanic LEP population was not considered in the demographic study.

Selection of Schools for Closing Defies Data and Increases the Degree of Racial Segregation

62. According to the Demography Report, schools in non-minority communities with projected declining enrollments include the following:

Elementary Schools with Declining Enrollments

Bartlett

Centennial

Creekside

Fox Meadow

Glenbrook

Hanover

Hillcrest

Horizon

Huff

Prairieview

Sheridan

Spring Trail

Sunnydale

Sycamore Trails

Wayne

See Exhibit 3, Population Growth (Projected Through 2014, McKibben and Gann).

- 63. Yet, McKibben recommended closing Woodland Heights and Century Oaks, schools in or near minority neighborhoods with stable or increasing student enrollments instead of other schools in white neighborhoods with declining student enrollments. Despite this fact, the Board adopted McKibben's recommendation to close Woodland Heights School. Further, the Board compounded the problems attendant to McKibben's recommendation by substituting Illinois Park School for the recommend Century Oaks School, a decision which reuslted in the close of a premdonlty minoirty school with a K-6 LEP program instead of a school with a larger white enrollment.
- 64. In redefining the boundaries, the Plan also increases the degree of racially identifiable schools in minority and white neighborhoods.

The District's Stated Purposes for Redistricting Are Not Supportable and the Proposed Ameliorative Measures Are Ineffective and Pretextual

- 65. According to the District, the rationales provided for redistricting were to end "forced diversity," create neighborhood schools, and reduce transportation costs.
- 66. On information and belief, however, the most significant transportation burdens exist in U-46 as a result of the District's continuing pattern and practice of overutilization of schools in minority communities, and the resulting overcrowding which forces minority students, particularly Hispanic LEP students, to be transported to available scats in underutilized schools in more predominately majority U-46 communities. In other words, minority children were not in recent years bused for the purpose of creating diversity in U-46. Minority children were bused out of their communities because the District failed to site and maintain sufficient school capacity in minority neighborhoods.
- 67. In regard to the estimated potential savings from the reduction of transportation costs, the benefit is only estimated at between \$300,000 and \$400,000. See Exhibit 4, Memo dated March 15, 2004, from J. Feuerborn to C. Neale and Board of Education. With total revenues of approximately \$294,715,935 for the 2003-04 school year, a potential savings of \$300,000 to \$400,000 (one-tenth of one percent) is a de minimis amount and does not outweigh the potential educational harm of increasing the low-income-student levels of approximately 10 U-46 schools which already have high levels of low income and Hispanic LEP students. Moreover, transportation costs, for the most part, are reimbursed by the State of Illinois Board of Education and

¹³The District's terminology for some of these schools is "targeted" or "priority" schools.

any reduction in transportation costs is unlikely to translate into significant funds for general education use.

- 68. Although the District claims its redistricting efforts will result in neighborhood schools wherein parents are more likely to be involved, educational research does not support a direct correlation between neighborhood schools and increased parental involvement.¹⁴
- 69. While Plaintiffs do not believe that poverty and LEP status control students' abilities to learn, research indicates that significant resources must be provided in learning environments that maintain high numbers of low income and LEP students in order for such schools to be successful.
- 70. While Plaintiffs also agree that decreasing transportation burdens on Hispanic LEP students should be a goal of the District, it should not result in educationally unsound increased class sizes and other programmatic problems.
- 71. Although the District contends it is providing increased programming for "targeted schools," the actual resources for students are clearly insufficient to address the educational challenges created by the Redistricting Plan:
 - a. Despite claims regarding an increase in Title I dollars, U-46 receives its Title
 I allocations on a districtwide and not a school basis. Thus, Title I dollars
 "follow the child" and while Title I allocations may increase for "targeted"

¹⁴As noted in a recent Harvard University study, neighborhood schools do not correlate to increased parental involvement or improved achievement. Jellison, J. (1996). *Resegregation and Equity in Oklahoma City*. Cambridge: Harvard Project on School Desegregation (finding that an increase in parental involvement was not the result of the Oklahoma City School District's return to neighborhood schools and that neighborhood schools had not resulted in improved achievement).

Another study conducted by Harvard University found "that contrary to the court-accepted school board argument, an end to mandatory busing does not necessarily trigger an increase in parental involvement." Meldrum, C. & Eaton, S.E. (1994) Resegregration in Norfolk, Virginia. Does Restoring Neighborhood Schools Work? Cambridge: Harvard Project on School Desegregation (finding that since busing in the Norfolk schools ended in 1996, parental involvement in the newly resegregated schools declined by 29% since 1992).

schools so too will the number of students in need of services at those schools. Ultimately, each student assigned to a "targeted school" will receive the same dollar allocation as he or she would have received had they remained in their 2003-04 assignment.

- b. Despite claims that "targeted schools" will receive assistance through the Stupski Foundation, these funds are limited to approximately \$670,000 of *inkind* services such as training of staff and administrators. This amount clearly is insufficient to overcome the challenges created by such high levels of low income and LEP status students.
- c. Despite claims that the District will reduce class size at "targeted schools" to 25 students for K-2 and 28 for 3-5, this commitment by the U-46 administration was considered for only the 2004-05 school year and was not officially adopted by the Board. Smaller class size for only one year is inadequate to address the needs of students at the "targeted schools."
- d. Despite claims by District officials that smaller class size will benefit students at "targeted schools," the by-product of the smaller class sizes at "targeted schools", according to District counsel, will be the use of portable classrooms. Thus, any benefit the smaller class size might have afforded students at "targeted schools" is likely to be diminished by the effect of the lesser educational quality of the portable classrooms.
- e. Despite District claims that "targeted schools will be eligible for additional grants and programs," the normal period to apply for such funds has passed for the 2004-05 school year. Thus, whether targeted schools will receive additional grant or foundation funds for the 2004-05 school year is based on mere speculation.

¹⁵Although the District commonly reports it maintains a class size of 30 throughout U-46 elementary schools, information obtained from ISBE School Report Cards indicate that average class sizes vary throughout the District, i.e., Bartlett had a 1st grade average of 21.0 students and Lowric had a 1st grade average of 26.0 students.

¹⁶According to information provided by the District's counsel, U-46 is no longer committed to providing grades 3-5 with class sizes of 28 and is only providing grades K-2 at targeted schools with smaller class sizes of 25. Also, from reports from parents of students enrolled at Channing, one of the District's designated "targeted schools" that is year round and started classes in July 2004, some K-2 classes have as many as 32 students.

- 72. Effectively, the District has substituted one kind of unequal educational opportunity for another, forcing an untenable result -- first Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minority students had to accept disproportionate burdens of over-utilized schools and now they are forced to accept the additional burdens of poorer LEP services and additional academic challenges associated with schools with high levels of low-income students.
- 73. Initially, the District defended its hurried process under the guise it needed to finalize a Plan by March 1 in order to make staffing decisions. Despite this claim, the District did not reduce its teaching force significantly for the 2004-05 school year.

The Board's Actions Will Increase the Likelihood of Closures of "Targeted Schools" in the Near Future

- 74. It is extremely likely that one or more of the existing U-46 schools on the ISBE watch or warning list will continue not to make the required annual yearly progress under the No Child Left Behind Act ("NCLBA").
- 75. The creation of high poverty, high LEP schools will make annual yearly progress under the federal No Child Left Behind Legislation extremely difficult for additional schools under the Redistricting Plan.
- 76. Rather than risking the loss of federal funding, the District is likely to opt to close one or more of these failing schools. Given the probability that schools in minority communities will remain overutilized, children attending the closing school(s) will most probably be reassigned to school(s) that are under-utilized in U-46 majority neighborhoods or to portable classrooms in schools in more minority neighborhoods.

Less Burdensome Alternatives Exist

77. Even when a District undertakes an extensive re-alignment of its schools' attendance boundaries, issues such as student mobility and changes in residential housing often undermine the stability of the new boundaries and over time result in re-drawing the boundaries and the mandatory

re-assignment of students.

78. Alternative ways of alleviating and eliminating student displacement include creating student attendance zones that cluster several schools together for purposes of student assignments; building new schools with increased capacities within the impacted areas; allowing parents to choose where their children attend school within the constraints of class size and available seats; and/or

adopting a new student assignment plan that is both proximity and choice based.

79. Unlike the District's Redistricting Plan, usually the best approach is to design a plan

that employs a mixture of these elements and provides a long term, stable and equitable solution.

Current Modifications to Redistricting Plan Are Insufficient

80. In the summer of 2004 counsel for the named Plaintiffs contacted the District's

counsel to discuss their potential claims. After months of negotiations, the parties reached a tentative

settlement. However, in the eleventh hour, the District chose not to enter into a settlement.

81. The same day the Board opted not to enter a settlement with the named Plaintiffs and

other clients represented by Futterman & Howard, Chartered at the time, the District announced "its

own" efforts to revise its Redistricting Plan.

82. To a substantial extent the measures represented unilateral implementation of items

recommended by Plaintiffs' counsel or developed in the negotiations.

23

- 83. The District's Plan, however, fails to address all of the issues raised by Plaintiffs' counsel during negotiations. For example, the Board now says it will permit voluntary transfers for low-income students from schools with high utilization rates to schools with lower utilization rates. Given that minority neighborhood schools have the highest low-income percentages, the transfers will, essentially, permit minority children to transfer out of their neighborhoods to white neighborhood schools. Thus, rather than mandatorily busing minority students, the District will now voluntarily bus minority students. Under either scenario, transportation burdens are disproportionately placed on minority students.
- 84. Subsequent to the Board modifications to the Plan, counsel for the named Plaintiffs continued their investigation and discovered additional claims including, but not limited to, the District's inadequate delivery of equal educational opportunities for Hispanic and Hispanic LEP students.

C. Redistricting Plan Negatively Impacts the District's Delivery of LEP Services

- 85. Due the District's failure to take into account population trends for Hispanic, Hispanic LEP, and other minority students, Hispanic LEP students are not provided equal access to educational opportunities under the Redistricting Plan.
- 86. More specifically, Hispanic LEP students face the following issues under the Redistricting Plan:
 - a. Closure of Illinois Park and Woodland Heights Schools, two of the District's schools with LEP services, under the Redistricting Plan has led to increased crowding of Hispanic LEP programs in Hispanic and minority neighborhood schools.

- b. Students ready to transition to regular education are being held in LEP classes because there are no available seats in regular classes, which are over-crowded due to the District's Redistricting Plan.
- c. Due to overcrowded conditions at many neighborhood schools and corresponding LEP classes, parents are forced to choose between having their child attend their neighborhood school and waiving their children's right to LEP services, or having their children bused to a non-neighborhood school in order to receive LEP services.
- d. Hispanic LEP students continue to be required to move from school to school to obtain LEP services while regular education students are generally permitted stability of assignment from Kindergarten through grade 6.

D. The District Fails to Properly Provide LEP Services

- 87. In addition to the previously detailed instances of unequal delivery of educational opportunities, the District also fails its Hispanic LEP students in the areas of special education and within-school integration.
- 88. On information and belief, at some District schools Hispanic LEP students are impermissibly segregated from other regular education students for non-LEP instruction.
- 89. The within-school segregation of LEP students from regular education students causes educational harms to students and interferes with the LEP students' ability to participate in all of the District's educational programs.
- 90. On information and belief, Hispanic LEP students in need of special education referrals are not being reviewed as required by state and federal laws.
- 91. On information and belief the District does not make an adequate number of referrals to special education for students who may be need of services.

Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 29 of 121 PageID #:29

92. On information and belief, if referrals are made for Hispanic LEP students, the time taken for the referral process significantly delays delivery of services when services are required for students.

93. Failure to provide adequate and timely special education services to Hispanic LEP students impedes students' abilities to overcome language barriers.

COUNT 1 Equal Education Opportunity Act of 1974

- 94. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every Substantive Allegation above as if fully set forth herein.
- 95. Section 1703 of the Equal Education Opportunity Act of 1974, 20 U.S.C §1701 et. seq. provides in relevant part:

No State shall deny equal educational opportunity to an individual on account of his or her race, color, sex, or national origin, by the deliberate segregation by an educational agency of students on the basis of race, color, or national origin among or within schools; [or] the failure by an educational agency to take appropriate action to overcome language barriers that impede equal participation by its students in its instructional programs.

- 96. The Defendant's actions and inactions as set forth in the Substantive Allegations, supra, caused and perpetuated unlawful denial of educational opportunities for Hispanic LEP students attending U-46 schools.
- 97. Through its actions and inactions the District has not taken appropriate steps to overcome language barriers that impede equal participation in its instructional programs including, but not limited to, sufficiently identifying students for LEP services, providing on-going LEP services, or exiting students from LEP services.

98. The conduct of the Defendant described in this Complaint violated the rights of the Plaintiffs under the Equal Education Opportunity Act.

COUNT 2: 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution

- 99. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every Substantive Allegation above as if fully set forth herein.
- 100. The Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution provides in relevant part:

No state shall make or enforce any law which shall . . . deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

- 101. The Defendant's actions and inactions as set forth in the Substantive Allegations, supra, caused and perpetuated unlawful discrimination against Hispanic students in the U-46 schools.
- 102. The Defendant's actions and inactions contributed to discrimination against Hispanics relative to, but not limited to, student assignment, transportation, and facilities and educational programs affecting LEP students.
- 103. The Defendant engaged in the conduct described in this Complaint knowingly and with discriminatory intent.
- 104. The conduct of the Defendant described in this Complaint violated the rights of the Plaintiffs under the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. §1983.

COUNT 3: The Equal Protection Clause of the Illinois Constitution

- 105. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every Substantive Allegation above as if fully set forth herein.
 - 106. Article I, Section 2 of the Illinois Constitution provides in relevant part:

No person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law nor be denied the equal protection of the laws.

- 107. The Defendant's actions and inactions set forth in the Substantive Allegations, supra, caused and perpetuated unlawful discrimination against Hispanic students in District U-46.
- 108. The Defendant's actions and inactions deprived those students of equal educational opportunities. The Defendant's actions and inactions contributed to discrimination against Hispanic students relative to, but not limited to, student assignment, facilities, transportation, and educational programs affecting LEP students.
- 109. The Defendant engaged in the conduct described above knowingly and with discriminatory intent.
- 110. The conduct of the Defendant described above violated the Equal Protection rights of the Plaintiffs under the Illinois Constitution.

COUNT 4: Illinois Civil Rights Act of 2003

- 111. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every Substantive Allegation above as if fully set forth herein.
 - 112. The Illinois Civil Rights Act states in relevant part:

- (a) No unit of State, county, or local government in Illinois shall:
 - (1) exclude a person from participation in, deny a person the benefits of, or subject a person to discrimination under any program or activity on the grounds of that person's race, color, or national origin; or
 - (2) utilize criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of subjecting individuals to discrimination because of their race, color, or national origin.

, . . .

- (d) For the purpose of this Act, the term "prevailing party" includes any party:
 - (1) who obtains some of this or her requested relief through a judicial judgment in his or her favor;
 - (2) who obtains some of his or her requested relief through any settlement agreement approved by the court; or
 - (3) whose pursuit of a non-frivolous claim was a catalyst for a unilateral change in position by the opposing party relative to the relief sought.
- 113. The Defendant's actions and inactions as set forth in the Substantive Allegations, supra, caused and perpetuated unlawful discrimination against Hispanic students in the U-46 schools.
- 114. The Defendant's actions and inactions contributed to the exclusion of and discrimination against Hispanic students relative to, but not limited to, student assignment, transportation, facilities, and educational programs affecting LEP students..
- 115. Alternatively, the Defendant's actions and inactions utilized criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of subjecting Hispanic students to discrimination.
- 116. Plaintiffs' pursuit of their claims out of court with the Defendant from July 2004 until November 2005 resulted in Defendant modifying its Redistricting Plan on approximately November

10, 2004, or was a catalyst for a unilateral change in position by the District relative to the relief sought.

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT

and equal educational opportunity to U-46 Hispanic students in violation of the Equal Education Opportunity Act of 1974; the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution; the Equal Protection Clause of the Illinois Constitution; and the Illinois Civil Rights Act of 2003. Unless restrained by order of this Court, the District will continue to maintain and operate the U-46 public schools in violation of the Constitution and laws of the United States and the State of Illinois, resulting in severe and irreparable harm. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, it is prayed that this Court:

- a. Issue a temporary restraining order, and then a preliminary injunction, prohibiting the Defendant from selling or leasing Illinois Park and Woodland Heights Elementary Schools.
- b. Issue a preliminary injunction enjoining the community of Bartlett or any other community currently included within U-46 boundaries from separating from the District while this case is pending.
- c. Issue a preliminary injunction with respect to the school years 2004-05 and 2005-06 providing such other preliminary relief to Plaintiffs as the Court deems necessary and proper.

- d. Determine that this claim should proceed as a class claim on behalf of the Class described above, and, if appropriate, authorize proper notice to the Class.
- e. Declare the policies, practices, actions and omissions of the District alleged in this Complaint in violation of the Constitution and the laws of the United States and the State of Illinois.
- f. Issue a preliminary and/or permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant from discrimination against students on the basis of race and ethnic origin, and from continuing to operate a racially discriminatory school system, and requiring Defendant to implement such plan of remediation as this Court may deem necessary and proper to remedy the discriminatory conduct by the Defendant.
- g. Issue a preliminary and/or permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant from actions that have the effect of discriminating against students on the basis of race and ethnic origin, and from continuing to operate a racially discriminatory school system, and requiring Defendant to implement such plan of remediation as this Court may deem necessary and proper to remedy the discriminatory conduct by the Defendant.
- h. Award Plaintiffs their costs, including expert witness fees and attorneys' fees.
- Award such other preliminary and permanent relief as the Court may deem necessary and proper to remedy the unequal educational opportunities and the discriminatory conduct of the District.

Respectfully submitted,

One of Plaintiffs' Atterneys

Date: February 7, 2005

Robert C. Howard Carol R. Ashley William W. Thomas FUTTERMAN & HOWARD, CHARTERED 122 S. Michigan Ave., Suite 1850

Chicago, IL 60603 312-427-3600 Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 35 of 121 PageID #:35

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1

2/7/2005

8.5% X.53 (v. 2.5)					
<u>iang</u> Egygnyngreger					
(E. J.) (1.) [The second state of the second	u de la companione de la c	e not e la réalte de la companya de l'Espe
arowa.	TEST AND TESTS	1.0015165			
Bartlett village	36,706	32,020	725	2,024	7.49
Cook County (part)	12,196	10,494	319	939	10.31
DuPage County (part)	24,508	21,524	406	1,085	6.08
Kane County (part)	2	2	0	0	0.00
Carol Stream village,					
DuPage County	40,438	31,749	1,716	4,055	14.27
Elgin city	94,487	66,600	6,427	32,430	41.12
Cook County (part)	20,474	14,594	1,167	6,080	35.40
Kane County (part)	74,013	52,006	5,260	26,350	42.71
(F==-)		02,000	0,200	20,000	12171
Hanover Park village	38,278	26,077	2,348	10,233	32.87
Cook County (part)	20,755	14,299	1,174	7,507	41.83
DuPage County (part)	17,523	11,778	1,174	2,726	22.26
Hoffman Estates	40.405	26.000	0.155	5 100	14.00
village	49,495	36,837	2,166	5,198	14.88
Cook County (part)	49,495	36,837	2,166	5,198	14.88
Kane County (part)	0	0	0	0	0.00
Schaumburg village	75,386	59,391	2,526	3988	8.64
Cook County (part)	75,386	59,391	2,526	3,988	8.64
DuPage County (part)	0	0	0	0	0.00
South Elgin village,					
Kane County	16,100	13,850	415	1,664	12.91
St Charles City	27,896	26,169	462	1,535	7.16
DuPage County (part)	169	159	4	4	4.73
Kane County (part)	27,727	26,010	458	1,531	7.17
Streamwood village,	,				
Cook County	36,407	28,225	1,398	6,108	20.62
Wayne village	2,137	2,026	8	80	4,12
DuPage County (part)	1,303	1,227	8	44	3.99

2/7/2005

(6)	IKOlegy IVI) Psylövelick	CVERBURGS		1 1 8 1 5 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Kane County (part)	834	799	0	36	4.32
West Chicago city, DuPage County	23,469	18,271	395	11,405	50.28
* Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, Matrices PL1 and PL2. http://factfinder.census.gov.serviet/GCTTable?_bm=y&- context=gct&- ds_name=DEC_2000_PL_U &-CONTEXT=gct&- mt_name=DEC_2000_PL_U _GCTPL_ST7&- tree_id=803&- redoLog=false&caller=geoselect&- geo_id=04000US17&- format=ST-7⟨=en					

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2

ILLINOIS SCHOOL DISTRICT U - 46 DEMOGRAPHIC PROJECTIONS, 2003 - 2013

Prepared by:

Jerome N. McKibben, Ph.D.

Michael A. Gann

Gann-McKibben Demographic Consulting

Gardner, Massachusetts

Ellettsville, Indiana

January 2004

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Fertility rates for Illinois School District U-46 over the life of the projections are below replacement levels.
- 2. Most in-migration to the district occurs in the 0-to-14 and 30-to-45 age groups.
- 3. The 18-to-24 year old population continues to leave the district, going to college or moving to other urban areas.
- 4. The primary factor causing the district's enrollment to grow at a slower than replacement level rate is the continued out-migration of 18-to-24 year olds and the slowing in-migration of young families.
- 5. Changes in year-to-year enrollment largely will be due to larger cohorts entering and moving through the system.
- 6. As in-migration of young families slows and the larger grade cohorts move through the system, total enrollment will continue to grow, although at a slower rate over the next 10 years. Total enrollment will increase by 2,908 students, or 7.7%, between 2003-04 and 2008-09. Total enrollment will grow an additional 747 students, or 1.8%, from 2008-09 to 2013-14.

INTRODUCTION

By demographic convention, a distinction is made between a projection and a forecast; a projection extrapolates the past and present into the future with little or no attempt to take into account any factors that may impact this extrapolation (e.g., changes in fertility rates or migration patterns). A forecast results when a projection is modified by judgment to take into account such factors and changes.

The results of this study are to be used as a planning tool; therefore, the ultimate goal is not merely to project the past into the future, but to assess what the likely future may be. Not all of the historical trends have been extended throughout the study period without modification. Forecaster's judgment has been used to modify some of the demographic trends to more accurately take into account likely changes. Therefore, strictly speaking, this study is a forecast, not a projection; but the two terms will be used interchangeably throughout the report.

When calculating population projections of any type, and particularly for "small" populations such as a school district, reasonable assumptions must be made as to what the future will bring. The demographic history of the school district in relation to the social and economic history of the area is the starting point and basis of most of these assumptions. The unique characteristics that affect each district's demographic make-up and change over time must be accounted for and assumed to be factors throughout the life of the projection series. Furthermore, no two populations, particularly school districts, are exactly alike.

The first part of the report will examine the assumptions made in calculating the population projections for Illinois School District U-46. The remainder of the report is an

explanation and analysis of the district's population projections and how they will affect the district's grade level enrollment projections.

ASSUMPTIONS

For these projections, the mortality probabilities are held at 2000 levels. Death rates rarely move rapidly in any direction, particularly at the school district or attendance area level. Thus, no significant changes are foreseen in district mortality rates between now and the year 2013.

Fertility rates are assumed to stay fairly constant for the life of the projections.

The total fertility rate (TFR), the average number of births a woman will have in her lifetime, is estimated to be 1.96 for the total district for the ten years of the population projections. A TFR of 2.1 births per woman is considered to be the theoretical "replacement level" of fertility necessary for a population to remain constant in the absence of in-migration. Therefore, over the course of the projection period, fertility will not be sufficient, in the absence of migration, to maintain the current level of population within Illinois School District U-46.

The pattern of net migration is assumed to be nearly constant throughout the life of the projections. While the number of migrants has changed in past years for the school district, the basic age pattern of the migrants has stayed nearly the same over the last 20 years, and is expected to remain unchanged into the future. This pattern of migration shows most of the local out-migration occurring in the 18-to-24 year old age group, as young adults leave the area to go to college or move to other urban areas.

Most of the local in-migration occurs in the 0-to-14 and 30-to-45 age groups, young

adults and their children

The projections also assume the current economic, political, social, and environmental factors of the district remain the same through the year 2013. In particular, the projections assume that throughout the study period:

- a. there will be no short term economic recovery in the next 18 months and no further deterioration of the economic recession;
- b. interest rates have reached an historic low, and will not fluctuate more than one percentage point in the short term;
- c. there will be no building moratorium within the district;
- d. business within the district will remain viable;
- e. housing turnover rates (i.e., sale of existing homes in the district) will remain at their current levels;
- f. private school attendance rates will remain constant; and
- g. there will be no major infrastructure changes.

If a major employer in or near the district either moves out of the area or expands its operations, the population projections would need to be adjusted to reflect the changes brought about by the change in economic conditions. The same holds true for any type of natural disaster, major change in the local infrastructure (e.g., highway construction, water and sewer expansion, etc.), further economic downturn, or any instance or situation that causes rapid and dramatic change that could not be foreseen at the time of the projections.

The high proportion of high school graduates from the Illinois School District U-46 that continue on to college or move to urban areas outside of the district for employment is a significant demographic factor. Their departure is a major reason for

the extremely high out-migration in the 18-to-24 age group and was taken into account when calculating the projections. This out-migration of graduating high school seniors is expected to continue over the period of the projections, and the rate of out-migration has been projected to remain the same over the life of the projection series.

Finally, all demographic trends (i.e., births, deaths, and migration) are assumed to be linear in nature and annualized over the projection period. For example, if 1,000 births are projected for a 5-year period, an equal number, or proportion of the births are assumed to occur every year, 200 per year. Actual year-to-year differences may occur, but overall trends are expected to hold.

DATA

The data used for the projections came from a variety of sources. Enrollments-by-grade and attendance center were provided by the Illinois School District U-46 for school years 2000-01 to 2003-04. Birth and death data were obtained from the Illinois Department of Health for the years 1995 through 2000. The net migration values were calculated using Internal Revenue Service migration reports for the years 1990 to 2000. The data used for the calculation of migration models came from the United States Bureau of the Census, 1985 to 2000, and the models were assigned using an ecodemographic system. The base age-sex population counts used were the results of the 2000 Census.

To develop the projection models, past migration patterns, current birth patterns, rate and type of existing housing unit sales, and future housing unit construction were primary variables. In addition, the change in household size relative to the age

structure of the projection area was addressed. While there was a substantial drop in the average household size in all areas of the state during the previous 20 years, the rate of this decline has been projected to slow over the next ten years.

METHODOLOGY

The population projections presented in this report are the result of using the Cohort-Component Method of population forecasting (Shryock, Siegel, and Stockwell, 1976: 439-482). As stated in the INTRODUCTION, the difference between a projection and a forecast is in the use of explicit judgment. Strictly speaking, a cohort-component projection refers to the future population that would result if a mathematical extrapolation of historical trends were applied to the components of change (i.e., births, deaths, and migration). A cohort-component forecast refers to the future population that is expected because of a conscious selection of the components of change believed to be the most likely that the population will experience. As also stated in the INTRODUCTION, results of this study are forecasts, but the two terms, projection and forecast, will be used interchangeably in this report.

Five sets of data are required to generate population and enrollment projections.

These five data sets are:

- a. a base-year population (here, the 2000 Census population for the Illinois School District U-46 and its attendance areas);
- b. a set of age-specific fertility rates for all attendance areas to be used over the forecast period;
- c. a set of age-specific survival (mortality) rates for all attendance areas;
- d. a set of age-specific migration rates for all attendance areas; and

e. historical enrollment figures by grade for all attendance areas.

The most difficult aspect of producing enrollment projections is the generation of the population projections in which the school age population (and enrollment) is embedded. In turn, the most difficult aspect of generating the population projections is found in deriving the rates of change in fertility, mortality, and migration. From the standpoint of demographic analysis, the Illinois School District U-46 and the thirty-nine elementary attendance center districts are classified as "small area" populations (as compared to the population of the state of Illinois or to that of the United States). Small area population projections are more difficult to make because the local variations in fertility, mortality, and migration may be much wider than those at the national scale. Especially difficult to project are migration rates for local areas, because changes in the area's socioeconomic characteristics can quickly change current patterns (Peters and Larkin, 2002.)

The population projections were calculated using a cohort-component method with the populations divided into male and female groups by five-year age cohorts that range from 0-to-4 years of age to 85 years of age and older (85+). Age- and sex-specific fertility, mortality, and migration models were constructed to specifically reflect the demographic characteristics of the attendance center districts and the total Illinois School District U-46.

The enrollment projections were calculated using a modified average survivorship method. Average survivor rates (i.e., the proportion of students who progress from one grade level to the next given the average amount of net migration for that grade level) over the previous five years of year-to-year enrollment data were

calculated for grades two through twelve.

The survivorship rates were modified, or adjusted, to reflect the average rate of projected in-migration of 5-to-9 and 10-to-14 year olds to each of the attendance centers for the period 1998 to 2003. These survivorship rates then were adjusted to reflect the projected changes in age-specific migration the district should experience over the next five years. These modified survivorship rates were used to project the enrollment of grades 2 through 12 for the period 2003 to 2008. The survivorship rates were adjusted again for the period 2008 to 2013 to reflect the predicted changes in the amount of age-specific migration in the districts for the period.

The projected enrollments for kindergarten and first grade are derived from the 5-to-9 year old population of the age-sex population projection at the elementary attendance center district level. This procedure allows the changes in the incoming grade sizes to be factors of projected population change and not an extrapolation of previous class sizes. Given the potentially large amount of variation in Kindergarten enrollment due to parental choice, changes in the state's minimum age requirement, and differing district policies on allowing children to start Kindergarten early, first grade enrollment is deemed to be a more accurate and reliable starting point for the projections (McKibben, 1996). The level of accuracy for both the population and enrollment projections at the school district level is estimated to be ±2.5% for the life of the projections.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF THE POPULATION PROJECTIONS

From 2000 to 2010, the populations of Illinois School District U-46, the state of

Illinois, and the United States are projected to change as follows. Illinois School District U-46 population will increase by 12.4%, Illinois will grow by 5.1%, and the United States increase by 10.7% (see Table 1).

Table 1: Projected Population Change, 2000 to 2010

	2000	2005	2010	Change
U.S. (in millions)	281	295	311	10.7%
Illinois	12,419,293	12,742,000	13,053,000	5.1%
U - 46	209,959	223,370	235,980	12.4%

A number of general demographic factors will influence the growth rate of the Illinois School District U-46 during this period, and include the following:

- a. the Baby Boom generation will have passed through prime childbearing ages by 2003, thereby reducing the overall proportion of the population at risk of having children;
- the remaining population in childbearing ages (women ages fifteen to fortyfive) will have fewer children;
- the 18-to-24 year old population, in prime childbearing ages, will continue to leave the area to go to college or to more urban areas, with the magnitude of this out-migration flow slowly increasing; and,
- d. the median age of the district will continue to increase.

The district population is projected to grow during the period 2000 to 2010 with the Illinois School District U-46 continuing to experience significant in-migration, i.e., the movement of new young families into the district. However, the size and age structure of the pool of potential in-migrants will change and the demographic impact of the in-

migration of families will be greatly offset by the continued steady out-migration of young adults as graduating seniors continue to leave the district.

From 2000 to 2005, the Illinois School District U-46 population is projected to increase by 13,411, or 6.4%, to 223,370. From 2005 to 2010, the population is projected to continue to increase by 12,610 persons or 5.6%.

While all elementary districts will see some amount of gross in-migration, (primarily in the 0-to-10 and 25-to-35 age groups, meaning, young families) all districts also will continue to see gross out-migration. This out-migration primarily will be young adults, 18-to-24 years old, as graduating seniors continue to leave the district to go to college or seek employment in larger urban areas.

As stated in the **ASSUMPTIONS** and emphasized above, the impact of the high proportion of high school graduates that leave the district to continue on to college or to seek employment in large urban areas is significant to the size and structure of the future population of the district. Up to 70% of all births occur to women between the ages of 20 and 29. As the graduating seniors continue to leave the district, the number of women at risk of childbirth during the next decade declines.

As a general rule of thumb, for every two seniors that leave the district, one new household must move into the district to replace the young adults that have left and to replace the lost potential fertility. Over the course of the projection period, the average number of graduating seniors will be approximately 2,000 per year. Using the general rule, approximately 800 new families will be required to move into the district every year, or 8,000 new families for the ten-year study period, to replace the graduating seniors and their lost fertility. It is projected that this young family in-migration will be

greatly offset by the steady out-migration of young adults, and that the total number of births will decline slightly throughout the projection period.

Another factor that needs to be considered is the birth dynamics of the last 20 years. An examination of national birth trends shows that there was a large "Baby Boomlet" born between 1980 and 1995. This Boomlet was nearly as large as the Baby Boom of the 1950s and 1960s. However, unlike the Baby Boom, the Boomlet was a regional and not a national phenomenon (McKibben, et. al. 1999). Because Illinois experienced a modest Baby Boomlet, most of the expected enrollment growth will have to result from in-migration and not from an increase in the cohort size of school age children.

As a result of the "empty nest" syndrome, Illinois School District U-46 will see a steady rise in the median age of its population, even while the district continues to attract some new young families. It should be noted that many of these "childless" households are single persons and/or elderly. Consequently, even if many of these housing units "turnover," they will add little to the number of school age children in the district.

It is important to note that an increase in the housing stock does not necessarily imply an equivalent increase in the population. Several factors are responsible for the difference between growth in population and growth in housing stock. Included among these factors are: people building new "move up" homes in the same area; children moving out of their parents homes and establishing residence in the same area; the increase in single-individual households; and divorce, with both parents remaining in the same area.

Typologies used in the Calculation of the Population Projections

Each of the 50 elementary, middle school and high school attendance areas were examined to ascertain the dominate social, economic and demographic factors that would affect population change and enrollment trends over the next ten years. While every attendance area exhibited characteristics unique to that area, is was possible to categorize the areas into six general typologies. These typologies are used to help identify attendance areas that will experience similar population and enrollment changes due to common social, economic, and demographic elements.

One issue that is important to consider is that the typology assigned to middle and high school areas may conflict with the typologies of the elementary areas that are included in those areas. Each area is assigned a typology independently. In the case of the middle and high school areas, the dominate trends of some of the contributing elementary areas conflict with each other. This in effect creates a different overall composition and demographic trend for the larger middle and high school districts.

The following is a brief description of each typology and the criteria used to assign attendance areas to each group. Assignment of the attendance areas to each typology was determined primarily by nine variables: the age/sex composition of the area, the amount of new housing construction, presents and magnitude of multi-family housing, median household income, average age of householder, number of children currently under eighteen years old, the proportion of the population age sixty-five and older, the proportion of housing units that are rental, and the predominate age specific net migration pattern of the area. Consult Appendix A for a complete listing of attendance areas by typology.

Suburban-Stable - This category includes all areas whose major demographic trends are dominated by the construction of new housing units over the last ten years. These areas feature a large number of adults (30 to 45 years old) whose households almost always have school age children and/or preschoolers.

However, the rate of new home construction for these areas has either been greatly reduced or has stopped altogether. While there is still some in-migration to the area, it is insufficient to produce any large-scale enrollment growth, particularly compared to that of the previous decade.

Suburban-Growth - This category includes all areas whose major demographic trends are dominated by the construction of new housing units. These areas feature a large number of adults (30 to 45 years old) whose households almost always have school age children or preschoolers. They also are experiencing large scale and rapid population and enrollment growth due to very high levels of in-migration. The vast majority of the population in these areas is in the 0-to-14 and 30-to-40 year old age groups. As each new subdivision is develop and settled a new wave of in-migrants with similar characteristics move in.

There are two additional factors that need to be considered for these types of areas. First, the large-scale population and enrollment growth will continue only so long as the current level of new housing construction is maintained. Once this construction stops so does most of the in-migration. Given that the vast majority of the housing stock is less than 10 years old, there is little possibility of much housing turnover.

The second issue is the relatively high cost of new housing in most of these

areas. As the cost of housing increases, the average number of children per household decreases. Further, the adults that tend to buy these houses are usually in their late 30s or 40s. Their children tend to be of middle or high school age. Consequently, even though there would be an in-migration flow, the impact on the elementary level enrollment patterns are minimal.

Stable Households - As the title implies, these are the attendance areas that tend to have steady levels of both population and enrollment. These areas have a fairly even distribution of population across all age cohorts. There is enough in-migration to keep both the enrollment and population fairly constant. However, this migration flow is not at a high enough level to maintain any amount of sustained growth.

Conversely, since the population is evenly distributed, the level of out-migration, primarily graduating high school students, does not have a significant or growing influence on the future population trends of the attendance areas. Indeed, one of the main characteristics of the these areas is the fact that the number of children entering the school system, primarily in Kindergarten and first grade, is roughly equal to the number of student leaving the school system, mostly 12th grade graduates and dropouts, on a year to year basis.

Further adding to this stability are the fertility and net migration trends of the areas. These attendance centers all have net migration rates that are positive, but very low. They also have total fertility rates that are very close to replacement level.

Because there are almost enough births occurring in these areas to maintain the level of population, only a small amount of net in-migration is needed to make up for the

short fall in births. These two trends work in concert to help maintain the current population, and consequently the enrollment, balance with little year to year change.

Young Families - The demographic trends of attendance areas in this group are clearly influenced by the presence of large numbers of apartments and condominiums located in the areas. Young family areas are noted by heavy in-migration of single people, 18 to 25 years old who wish to live in the district but can't yet afford to purchase their own homes. After age 30 the net migration flows out of the area as people in these households get married, have children and move to single family housing.

It is important to note that not all of the 18-to-25 year old in-migrants leave the area after age 25. This trend creates a slight aging effect on the area's total population over the next ten years. Further, not all of the young families leave as soon as they have children or after the children reach school age. There is however a constant out migration of young families resulting in a steady reduction of age cohort size as the children age through the elementary grades.

The demographic trends of these areas also have a major secondary effect on the future enrollment trends of the district as a whole. The vast majority of young families that move out of young family attendance areas move to other areas within illinois School District U-46. Consequently, this internal migration flow has an impact on the enrollment trends of many other attendance areas, but has no net effect on the district as a whole. Given this inclination, a close examination of the population and enrollment trends of multi-family areas could be used as an excellent leading indicator for identifying changes in future enrollment trends in the rest of the district.

Low Household Size - These areas are characterized by large numbers of households headed by people ages 25-to-40. However, unlike other areas with this same population concentration, there is no corresponding large number of 0-to-14 year olds. Residences in these areas feature a very low average persons per family, and overall have a small proportion of households with persons under age 18.

Special Population - The presence of Judson College requires that the Century Oaks area have a unique fertility and migration model. College students tend to migrate into the area at age 18 and out-migrate by 24. This creates a permanent, but artificial bubble of population in the prime child bearing ages. The models for this area reflect the high levels of migration. Further, since college students rarely have children, the fertility models were adjusted to compensate for the area's low fertility rate.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS Elementary Enrollment

The total elementary enrollment of the district is projected to increase from 21,930 in 2003 to 23,613 in 2008, a rise of 1,683 students or 7.7%. From 2008 to 2013, elementary enrollment should decline by 595 students, or 2.5%, to 23,018; therefore growth over the 10-year projection period will increase 8.5%.

The demographic factors that will become the most influential over the next ten years are the number of empty nest households in the district, the rate and magnitude of existing housing unit "turn over" and each area's fertility rate. As elementary attendance areas become completely dependent upon existing home sales to attract

new families, the overall elementary enrollment trend of the district will decline. Thus, the best primary short- and long-term indicator for enrollment change in any area will be the year-to-year rate of housing turnover. Furthermore, if the Total Fertility Rates of all the attendance areas remain at their current low levels (and they are projected to do so) they will insure that enrollments will continue to decline even if the level of net out-migration is greatly reduced.

While these factors affect all of the elementary attendance areas to varying degrees, there are several specific population and housing characteristics that dominate selected areas of the district. The most obvious (and over emphasized) factor that affects enrollment change is the presence of large scale and consistent new home construction. Areas such as Bartlett and Hoffman Estates, which have experienced a large amount of new single family home construction, have also seen significant increases in their elementary enrollment.

Additionally, it is important to note that not all new housing construction results in a large increase in elementary enrollment. Frequently in cases where the home construction is primarily move up houses (priced \$200,000 or higher) the impact on enrollment is felt at the middle and high school levels. These homes are usually purchased by families who have completed their childbearing and the children they do have tend to be ages 12 and older.

Equally important are the factors of housing turn over and "family formation."

Areas with existing homes that have a large proportion of housing units owned by their residents and have a large proportion of their homeowners age 65 or older are prime candidates to experience a growing amount of housing turn over. In illinois School

District U-46, areas such as Coleman and Creekside are excellent examples of this trend. These areas, which would normally see a dramatic drop in their enrollment numbers as the number of households with school age children declines, will see moderate changes and long term stability in their student populations as young families move into formally empty nest housing units.

The Laurel Hill and Harriet Gifford areas provide good examples of family formation areas. These areas are characterized by high percentages of rental housing units and large concentrations of young adults. Young adults and/or the newly married move to these areas and establish households. Because the population is in prime child bearing ages, these areas also have both a high absolute number of births and a higher-than-the-district average birth rate. Later, as family size increases, these families often move to single family homes, usually moderately priced single family homes in other parts of the school district.

Consequently, these areas and others with similar characteristics, serve as feeder areas for other attendance areas in the district. This internal migration flow is far more important in determining future enrollment trends then the construction of new single family homes since an average of four existing homes are sold for every new home built. Indeed, a close examination of the year-to-year trends in the family formation areas will serve as an excellent bellwether for short- and medium-term changes in areas that depend on in-migration for enrollment growth.

Middle School Enrollment

The total middle school enrollment for the district is projected to grow from 5,929

in 2003 to 6,347 in 2008, a 418 student or 7.1% increase. Between 2008 and 2013 middle school enrollment is projected to grow to 6,853, an increase of 506 or 8.0% There are two primary reasons why the middle school enrollment trends deviate from those of the elementary grades.

First, there are currently large grade cohorts enrolled in the elementary grades compared to the middle school grade cohorts. As these elementary cohorts "age" in to middle school, they increase the overall enrollment level. Note how the size of the incoming 6th grade class is always larger than the previous year's 8th grade class, which has now moved on to high school. As long as this "bubble" in the enrollment pattern exists, there will be to some degree an increase in middle school enrollment, particularly after the 2005-2006 school year.

The second factor is the change in the characteristics of the families moving in to the district and the ages of their children. As mentioned earlier, the cost of new and existing housing in the district is increasing at a significant rate. The families that buy and move into these houses tend to be older on average than first time home buyers. Consequently, their children are increasingly at the middle school ages when they move into the new house. This results in an increase in middle school enrollment where in the past most of this migration flow affected the amount of elementary enrollment.

High School Enrollment

Enrollment at the high school level is projected to grow from 10,069 in 2003 to 10,876 in 2008, an increase of 807 students or 8.0%. After 2008, the high school enrollment will continue to grow, although at a slightly slower rate. The net result for the

five-year period 2008-to-2013 will be an increase of 836 students or 7.7%

High school enrollment is the most difficult of all the grade levels to project. The reason for this is the varying and constantly changing dropout rates, particularly in grades 10 and 11. For these projections the dropout rates for each high school were calculated for each grade over the last five years. These five-year averages were then held constant for the life of the projection. The effects of any policy change dealing with any school's dropout rates (the current No Child Left Behind program is an excellent example) will need to be added or subtracted from the projection results.

The aforementioned effects of changes in cohort size on middle school enrollment are also affecting the growth patterns of the high school population. Until the current bubble of students passes through the high school grades, there will be continued growth at the district's high schools. It is important to note that the vast majority of the future high school enrollment growth will be a result of students aging into those grades. Specifically, students who already live in the district, and not inmigration students ages 14 to 18, will be the primary cause of the projected increase in high school enrollment.

REFERENCES

McKibben, J.

The Impact of Policy Changes on Forecasting for School Districts. <u>Population</u>

<u>Research and Policy Review</u>, Vol. 15, No. 5-6, December 1996

McKibben, J., M. Gann, and K. Faust.

The Baby Boomlet's Role in Future College Enrollment. <u>American</u>
<u>Demographics</u>, June 1999.

Peters, G. and R. Larkin

Population Geography 7th Edition. Dubuque, IA: Kendall Hunt Publishing. 2002.

Shryock, H., J. Siegel, and E. Stockwell

The Methods and Materials of Demography: Condensed Edition, Academic

Press: Orlando, Florida. 1976.

Appendix C: Enrollment Projections

nn-McKibben Demographics January 2004

Bartlett Elementary

2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14	92 90 88 67 101 100 98 96 105 103 102 100 107 105 103 101 108 104 103 101 101 102 103	0 0 0		715 709 700 669 -3 -6 -9 -31 -0.4% -0.8% -1.3% -4.4%
2009-10 20	855555	Q	718	718 -5 -0 7%
2008-09	8 5 5 5 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0	723	723
2007-08	76 103 103 103 103 103	0	708	708 -5
2006-07	88 50 561 561 561 561 571	0	713	713
2005-06	90 105 108 108 104 104	•	711	717
2004-05	855855g		708	708 5
2003-04	25 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0	703	703
2002-03	88 8 <u>7 7</u> 8 8 8	0	8	700 1-
2001-02	8	0	704	701
2000-01	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 5 7 5 7 5	0	746	746
	ች – и ພ 4 ໝ መ	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change

2000	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
	103 120 120 120 131	127 129 129 16 89	48 130 132 133 133 88	120 121 138 104 104 104	001 119 119 134 76	99 118 118 117 115	98 117 120 120 116 113	211 118 118 119 108	8	94 113 116 119 109	80 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	90 10 11 11 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	90 107 112 112 115 105	86 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60
	5	5	7	9	9	9	\$	9	6	4	5	5	1 0	5
	841	817	832	100	817	827	804	799	794	787	779	769	759	750
	<u>48</u>	817 -24 -2.9%	832 15 1.8%	807 -25 -3.0%	917 10 1.2%	827 10 1.2%	804 -23 -2.8%	799 -5 -0.6%	794 -5 -0.6%	787 -7. -0.9%	779 -8 -1.0%	769 -1.3%	759 -10 -1.3%	750 -9 -1.2%

_
E.
_
_
ш
<u>far</u>
_
_
-
=
•
=
_
_
Ε
and the same
œ
_=
_
ш.
(C)
_
_
_
173
О.
_
_
_
-
_
_
_
_
_
_
-
w
<i>(</i>)
~
_

2013-14	±0±	2	404	105	113	117	Ξ	ω	768	768	Ď.	-0.8%
2012-13	102	109	108	101	116	#18 8	2	60	774	774	ņ	-0.6%
2011-12	402	110	1 09	\$	117	1 5	Ŧ	ဖ	779	779	ņ	-0.3%
2010-11	103	** **	110	2	+	118	90	0	781	781	-	-0.1%
2009-10	<u>\$</u>	112	#	器	116	116	109	9	782	782	27	3.6%
2008-09	105	13	\$	107	114	118	85	9	755	755	47	6.6%
2007-08	108	‡	\$	₹	<u>*</u>	8	8	90	9 2	708	ř	5.0%
2006-07	104	2	5	52	88	73	98	œ	874	674	<u>~1</u>	2.6%
2005-06	103	107	\$	77	72	92	97	9	657	657	ន	3.6%
2004-05	5	₽	28	62	8	5	88	6	634	634	88	4.6%
2003-04	\$	æ	S	£	5	95	82	Ф	909	909	23	3.9%
2002-03	76	88	7.	22	88	110	60	۷	583	583	ထု	-1.4%
2001-02	75	82	: ##	2	108	26	2	တ	591	594	9	%0.6
2000-01	47	88	75	: 2	2) (2	<u>8</u>	æ	542	542		
	×	-		1 67	***	· uo	ω	Spec Ed	Totai	Total	Change	%-Change

Channing Elementary

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
c	7	78	87	60	115	130	145	160	162	1 63	161	158	155	148
· -	à	4.2	<u>~</u>	95	109	121	135	149	163	165	166	<u>₹</u>	161	158
- 0	. 5	: 8	2	102	5	117	13	144	156	42	170	1 89	166	163
4 (*	2 6		25	74	109	11	128	140	153	164	177	175	172	168
3 4	3 5	3 8	6	7.4	. E	118	119	135	55	161	171	182	179	174
† "	7 6	¥ 8	8 6	. K	2.	9	123	126	143	156	188	174	184	181
ဂဏ	3 &	- 89	æ 8 €	3 28	97	8	92	127	130	64	159	169	477	188
Spec Ed	0		0	0	o	0	0	0	0	Ċ.	0	0	0	. 0
Total	531	205	531	552	629	757	964	981	1,057	1,125	1,170	1,191	1,194	1,180
Total Change %-Change	531	507 -24 -4.5%	531 24 4.7%	552 21 4.0%	659 107 19,4%	757 98 14.9%	864 107 14.1%	981 117 13.5%	1,067 76 7.7%	1,125 68 6.4%	1,170 45 4.0%	1,191 21 1.8%	1,194 3 0.3%	1,180 -14 -1.2%

Clinton Elementary

2013-14	78 92 94 97 88	12	640	.7 -1.1%
2012-13	8888888	12	647	-0.6%
2011-12	88 28 88 28 88	12	651	0.0%
2010-11	8 2 8 9 8 2 8	12	651	651 3 0.5%
2009-10	22 89 99 95 82 82 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	12	648	648 1 0.2%
2008-09	8 3 8 8 8 8	5	647	647 -6 -0.9%
2007-08	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	42	653	653 1 0.2%
2006-07	8888384	72	652	652 16 2.5%
2005-06	7.22 9.25 P. 22 P.	4	636	636 3.4%
2004-05	88 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7	615	615 21 3.5%
2003-04	88 8 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	5	594	594 5 0.8%
2002-03	238882	7	589	589 -20 -3.3%
2001-02	92 92 93 93 93 95	-	609	609 - 19 -3.0%
2000-01	88 88 88 88	1	628	628
	<u>አ</u> ተሪካ ል 4 የን ው	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Coleman Elementary

2013-14	00 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	23	807 -7 -0.9%
2012-13	107 112 118 115 115 116	814	814 -4 -0.5%
2011-12	108 113 115 115 115	23	818 0 0.0%
2010-11	801 411 811 811 711	23	818 2 0.2%
2009-10	108 115 115 115 108 108	23	816 13 1.6%
2008-09	01 11 11 11 10 10	23 803	803 15 1.9%
2007-08	£	23	788 20 2.6%
2006-07	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	23	768 1 0.1%
2005-08	00 110 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	23	767 30 4.1%
2004-05	501 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	23	737 -11 -1,5%
2003-04	108 99 99 115 85 116	23	748 12 1,8%
2002-03	88 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	23	736 0.0%
2001-02	98 11 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	22	85. 69.%
2000-01	61 111 105 112 78 87	23	676
	⊼ ⊬ ለ ወ 4 ኬ ወ	Spec Ed Total	Total Change %-Change

Creekside Elementary

2013-14	8 17 05 05 EE	17	373	373 -7 -1.8%
2012-13	52 52 53 54 53	17	380	380 -5 -1.3%
2011-12	888888	17	385	385 -3 -0.8%
2010-11	ជលិនិសីស្គស	4	388	388 -1 -0.3%
2009-10	8888888	4	388	389 4 1.0%
2008-09	88 23 58 54	11	385	385 -6 -1.5%
2007-08	52 52 54 54 58 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	17	391	391 -3 -0.6%
2006-07	¥ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	17	394	394 -19 4,6%
2005-06	55 55 65 65 72 2 7 5 8 8 7 5 5	17	413	413 -20 -4.6%
2004-05	12 68 68 52 44 13 68 68 68 64 44	11	433	433 4 0.9%
2003-04	23 4 4 4 4 4 8 8 23 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	11	429	429 -79 -15.8%
2002-03	62 90 73 81	4	508	508 24 5.0%
2001-02	28 5 £ 28 8 £ 28 8 5 £ 28 8 £ 28	Ð	484	484 -16 -3.2%
2000-01	58 58 80 80 80 80	5	200	500
	ች - 00 64 12 40	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Ľ,
=
TO
-
=
(I)
=
Ξ
3
0
Ū
ų.
-
3
=
0
듗
燕
-
<u>w</u>
5
Ξ.
0
11
سكم

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-08	2008-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
¥	162	159	8	101	109	112	110	50	101	501	104	19	66	97
: -	148	176	£	123	118	119	120	118	\$16	114	**-	\$	105	103
	<u> </u>	148	듄	123	12	116	117	118	116	114	112	\$	† 04	\$
i en	149	107	7	122	118	116	#	112	113	111	2	8	<u>\$</u>	<u>8</u>
•	137	+	12	100	120	116	1,4	109	110	14.1	109	107	1 08	103
.	5 5	5 5	3 5	8	26	116	143	11	106	107	1 08	106	1 04	1 03
9	82	<u>\$</u>	2	86	95	95	11.4	113	109	\$	52	106	<u>\$</u>	102
SpecEd	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q
Total	893	911	708	707	745	790	799	788	777	766	758	748	730	714
Total Change %-Change	893	911 18 2.0%	708 -203 -22.3%	701 -7 -1.0%	745 44 6.3%	790 45 6.0%	799 9 1.‡%	786 -11 -1.4%	777 -11 -1.4%	786 -1.4%	758 -8 -1.0%	746 -12 -1.6%	730 -16 -2.1%	714 -16 -2.2%

Garfield Elementary

2013-14	8888888	0	652	652 -2 -0.3%
2012-13	# 6 8 8 8 8 8	0	95	654 0.0%
2011-12	2288288	0	654	654 1 0.2%
2010-11	288888	0	653	853 3 0.5%
2009-10	8889988	0	650	650 14 2.2%
2008-09	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0	636	636 14 2.3%
2007-08	338883	0	622	622 21 3.5%
2006-07	55 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28		601	601 32 5.6%
2005-06	5 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	0	999	569 31 5.8%
2004-05	4 2 4 4 5 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0	538	538 -8 -1.5%
2003-04	88 79 75 64 69 92	0	8. 8.	546 22 4.2%
2002-03	28	0	524	524 72 \$5.9%
2001-02	56 56 57 58 58 58 58 58	0	452	452 8 1.3%
2000-01	53 67 69 66 66	0	446	446
	X И W 4 P P	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Glenbrook Elementary

2013-14	7 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	362	362 -3 -0.8%
2012-13	74 44 44 44 55 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	365	365 3.0.
2011-12	84 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	388	388
2010-11	<u> </u>	368	368 0.0.0%
2009-10	84 03 45 03 03 44 64 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03	22 368	368 .3 .0.8%
2008-09	54 50 48 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	22 371	371 -10 -2.6%
2007-08	84 C 4 C 6 C 6 C 6 C 6 C 6 C 6 C 6 C 6 C	22 381	381 -8 -2,1%
2006-07	84 52 85 158 85 188 85 188 85	22 389	389 -12 -3.0%
2005-08	# 52 # 52 68 E	22 401	401 -34 -7.8%
2004-05	55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	435 22	435 -35 -7.4%
2003-04	8 4 5 5 5 5 5	470 22	470 -31 -8.2%
2002-03	2882 288	2	501 -3.7 -6.9%
2001-02	285288	23 23	538 1 0.2%
2000-01	£ 2 & 2 £ 6	30 30 537	537
	≍ ← ι/ι ι α τι α	Spec Ed Total	Total Change %-Change

Hanover City Elementary

2013-14	%8%2% 2%	٥	484	484 -5 -1.0%
2012-13	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0	489	489 -6 -1.2%
2011-12	85822255	0	495	495 -6 -1.2%
2010-11	6 6 7 7 7 7 7 8	•	504	501 -8 -1.6%
2009-10	67 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	0	209	509 -9 -1.7%
2008-09	67 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	0	518	518 -9 -1.7%
2007-08	8883338	0	527	527 -17 -3.1%
2008-07	89 47 87 87 81 16	0	544	544 -15 -2.7%
2005-06	88 25 28 28 28 28 28		558	559 -17 -3.0%
2004-05	69 77 81 88 88 88	0	578	576 -9 -1.5%
2003-04	44 79 79 83 83	0	585	585 -10 -1.7%
2002-03	20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	2	595	595 -29 -4.8%
2001-02	8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8	cu cu	624	624 40 6.8%
2000-01	73 78 89 88 78	0	584	584
	⊼⊢ <i>બ</i> ၑ႔ၸၹ	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 73 of 121 PageID #:73

Harriet Gifford Elementary

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Y	62	505	2	11	82	8	82	83	82	83	82	83	82	80
< ♥*	- E	2	5	3.	68	66	68	88	88	87	87	8	98	82
۰ ،	8 8	2 &	. E	8	8	87	87	48	98	88	82	85	\$	\$
1 6	3 6	8	282	2	66	8	88	98	88	87	87	8	88	85
> <	ě	8	9	1 20	2	95	5	88	98	98	8	8	\$	8
† 4	4 6	5 5	3 &) <u>(</u>	2	12	83	92	48	87	87	98	88	82
ဂယ	9. 92	5 25	8	2 5	7.	8	2	8	91	88	98	98	æ	&
Spec Ed	0	6	7	-	-	. -	-	-	-	* -	-	-	. —	**
Total	514	563	523	555	589	8	99	617	609	603	000	298	594	289
Total Change %-Change	514	563 49 9.5%	523 -40 -7.1%	32 32 6.1%	589 34 8.1%	601 12 2.0%	801 0 0.0%	817 16 2.7%	609 -8 -1.3%	603 -6 -1.0%	600 -3 -0.5%	598 -2 -0.3%	594 -4 -0.7%	589 -5 -0.8%

Hawk Hollow Elementary

2013-14	73 87 87 87 88	77	299	566 -8 -1.4%
2012-13	47 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	2	574	574 -10 -4.7%
2011-12	75 78 78 84 84 89	2	584	584 -10 -1,7%
2010-11	8 8 2 8 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	21	594	. 594 -0.8%
2009-10	77 86 88 88 87	23	286	599 21 3.6%
2008-08	73 82 82 83 83 63 63	73	578	578 8 1.4%
2007-08	888882°F	21	220	570 6 1.1%
2006-07	85 85 87 74 85	2	564	564 15 2.7%
2005-08	28 88 72 74 74 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	21	549	549 12 2.2%
2004-05	88 27 27 27 27	72	537	537 9 1.7%
2003-04	882288 4483288	22	528	528 39 8.0%
2002-03	2 2 2 3 3 3 3 5 5 5 6 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	7	489	488
2001-01 2001-02				
2000-01				
	<u>አ</u> ተሪሰ ላ 4 r b ል	י לע ער פיניט	Total	Total Change %-Change

Heritage Elementary

2013-14	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	83	969	86	- 42	-1.79	
2012-13	98 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 8	æ	-		*	1	
2011-12	8	8	719	719	∓ :	-1:5%	
2010-11	¥ 6 5 6 6 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	33	730	8	÷	1.5%	
2009-10	97 102 104 104 104	83	741	741	₽	1.4%	
2008-09	8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 8 8	33	734	73.	6	2.7%	
2007-08	8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	8	712	717		1.1%	
2006-07	00 108 109 83 78 89	33	704	707	3 3	3.8%	
2005-08	102 107 111 86 77 78 88	33	878	020	9 60	3.4%	
2004-05	163 163 88 87 88 87 88	33	658	9	200	0.3%	
2003-04	113 88 89 77 77 83	æ	854		4554 4 7	7.4%	
2002-03	91 98 93 81 87	25	609		609	- 13 - 24	
2001-02	88 202 27 27 28 28	, <u>8</u>	622		622	33	900
2000-01	44 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	; ć	280		989		
	ች-ሀ፡፡4 መመ	, F	Total		Total	Change	%-Change

Highland Elementary

2005-06 2008-07 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14	84 84 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 84 85 84 87 85 84 87 84 87 84 84 87 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 85 84 86<	6 6 6 6 6 6	598 611 619 631 636 629 622 615	598 611 619 631 636 629 622 615 12 5 -7 -7 -7
2004-05	84 98 82 77 77 77 80	6	585	585
2003-04	28 7 85 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		910	610
2002-03	28 8 2 2 2 8 8 4 2 2 2 8 8 4 2 2 2 8 8 4 2 2 2 8 8 4 2 2 2 8 8 4 2 2 2 2	မွ	561	581
2001-02	67 84 75 78 88 88 78	Ξ	548	5 <u>7</u>
2000-01	4 8 7 7 8 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	7	486	486
	ች ← И ሠ 4 _የ ነው	Spec Ed	Total	Total

Hillcrest Elementary

2013-14	55 55 54 74	11	4	444 -10 -2.2%
2012-13	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9	77	454	454 -8 -1.7%
2011-12	\$2222 \$2222 \$3225 \$4	#	462	462 -6 -1.3%
2010-11	G 23 88 88 28 48	11	468	468 -6 -1.3%
2009-10	88888888	44	474	474 16 3.5%
2008-09	និងស្លួនជួន	#	458	458 12 2.7%
2007-08	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	11	446	446 23 5.4%
2006-07	888888	11	423	423 13 3.2%
2005-06	93 93 93 93 93 93 93	22	410	410 27 7.0%
2004-05	4 8 6 7 7 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4	¥	383	383 19 5.2%
2003-04	56 4 5 4 5 4 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5	#	8 8	364 -58 -13.7%
2002-03	£ 8 2 2 8 E 9	22	422	422 -150 -26.2%
2000-01 2001-02	22 22 23 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	සි	572	572 8 1.4%
2000-01	62 96 61 10 77	9	564	584
	⊼⊢ለወ4応ወ	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Horizon Elementary

2013-14	8888 4756	0	496	496 -6 -1.2%
2012-13	49 79 85 77 75	6	203	502 -6 -1.2%
2011-12	65 98 73 74 75	o,	208	508 -6 -1.2%
2010-11	388888	o	514	. 514 -7 -1.3%
2009-10	67 70 70 67 80 79	6	521	521 -5 -1.0%
2008-09	68 17 18 18 18 18 17	6	526	526 -9 -1.7%
2007-08	82228	ø.	535	535 -11 -2.0%
2008-07	52 22 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Q)	546	548 -35 -6.0%
2005-08	77 74 78 69 88 109	G3	58‡	581 -14 -2,4%
2004-05	25 2 4 8 <u>1</u> 8	Ç,	595	595 -38 -6.0%
2003-04	69 78 76 117 120 120	Ø	833	833 -49 -7.2%
2002-03	28 28 28 28 25 25 26 28 28 28 28 29 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	ဖ	682	982 6 0.9%
2001-02	78 103 104 115 115	က	676	678 -3 -0.4%.
2000-01	4 50 8 6 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5	0	828	679
	ጸ ለ፡፡ 4 ተ፡፡ ው	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Huff Elementary

2013-14	8 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	~	565	565 -6 -1.1%
2012-13	79 79 81 84		571	571 -3 -0.5%
2011-12	883288	7	574	574 0 0.0%
2010-11	8 2 8 2 8 2 8	7	574	574 1 0.2%
2009-10	2228282	_	573	573 5 0.9%
2008-09	85 82 77 77	~	268	568 9 1.6%
2007-08	23899	7	529	559 2 0.4%
2008-07	2888228	7	227	557 -16 -2.8%
2005-06	82 84 85 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	-	673	573 -2 -0.3%
2004-05	78 63 77 75 75 96	7	575	575 -24 -4.0%
2003-04	8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	~	200	599 -29 -4.6%
2002-03	88 85 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	42	628	628 15 2.4%
2001-02	22 22 23 28 25	5	6‡3	613 -51 -7.7%
2000-01	888885	क्	964	984
	X + 0 0 4 5 0	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Illinois Park Elementary

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
X U 00 4 10 10	85 71 86 73 73	75 93 92 17 17 83	63 101 77 77 88	28 8 5 5 5 7 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	88 97 92 98 103 103 75	87 98 101 90 95 70 70	98 50 98 50 98 88 88	98 98 98 98 98	8858847	38838838	8 45 95 95 95 95 8 45 95 45 45 95 8 45 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	98 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 9	97 97 98 98 98	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Spec Ed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
Total	513	564	593	629	620	642	999	099	099	687	964	099	954 4	648
Total Change %-Change	513	564 51 9.9%	593 29 5.1%	629 36 8.1%	620 -9 -1.4%	642 22 3.5%	668 26 4.0%	980 -8 -1.2%	960 0 0.0%	667 7 1.1%	984 -3 -0.4%	660 4.	654 -6 -0.9%	648 -0.9%

Laurel Hill Elementary

2013-14	88 2 0 0 0 88	0	628	629	- 1	
2012-13	######################################	0	639	629	- 1	
2011-12	8 8 2 2 9 8 8 8	0	647	647	-0.9%	
2010-11	8688888	0	653	1	-0.6%	
2009-10	93 93 93	0	857	657	-3	
2008-09	8588288	0	88	099	23 3.6%	
2007-08	8 5 8 8 8 8 5	0	637	637	4.1% 4.1%	
2008-07	88 52 88 52 98 98 98 98	0	612	612	0.7%	
2005-06	8 5 5 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	0	608	809	8 %	
2004-05	8 5 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	0	575	575	5 6 5 %	
2003-04	26 27 28 28 28 28	€	559	989	38 %	0,0,0
2002-03	38 4 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	8	521	100	**************************************	-0.9%
2001-02	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	4	525		5 5	24.4%
2000.01	2	3 8	422		7	
	ች ተ <i>ረ</i> ነ የህ 4 መ ፈ) 1	Specific Total		Total Change	%-Change

Œ
Ę.
므
Ξ
<u> </u>
山
>
÷.
<u></u>
×
#

2013-14	12223333	ю.	415	415 -2 -0.5%
2012-13	27 28 28 28 28 24	ĸ	417	417 -1 -0.2%
2011-12	\$ 2 8 8 8 8 8	ഗ	418	418 -1 -0.2%
2010-11	8 5 5 5 7 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	ъ	419	419 1 0.2%
2009-10	99 99 92 92 92 93	£Ω	418	418 3 0.7%
2008-09	2828283	ស	415	415 -11 -2.6%
2007-08	26 95 52 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	ĸ	426	426 -1 -0.2%
2006-07	26 93 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	ψ	427	427 7 1.7%
2005-06	8 2 28 28 28 28	ιΩ	420	420 12 2.9%
2004-05	99 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	.	408	408 13 3.3%
2003-04	86 27 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	ďΩ	395	395 -23 -5.5%
2002-03	57 84 69 44 65 11	တ	418	4 18
2001-02 2002-03				
2000-01				
	<u>አ</u> ት ሃ 60 4 10 10	ос. П	Total	Total Change %-Change

Lords Park Elementary

			_	
2013-14	\$ + 8 2 2 3 3 8	6	845	-111 -1.3%
2012-13	± ± 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	.	856	956 -6 -0.7%
2011-12	121 124 128 123 123	.	862	962 -2 -0.2%
2010-11	113 123 126 127 119	o	884	864 2 0.2%
2009-10	113 127 126 120 118	0	962	862 34 4.1%
2008-09	113 126 124 124 119	6	828	828 2 0.2%
2007-08	113 123 123 123 123	O	826	826 11 1.3%
2006-07	± 25 22 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	6	815	815 13 1.6%
2005-06	65 22 22 28 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	6	802	802 10 1.3%
2004-05	90 121 143 149 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	G;	792	792 13 1.7%
2003-04	113 125 113 113 113	6	779	779 25 3.3%
2002-03	86 11 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	2	754	754 4 0.5%
2001-02	8 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 8 8 8 9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	ţ.	750	750 12 1.6%
2000-01	26 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	4	738	738
	ች // 60 4 rb fb	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change

Lowrie Elementary

2013-14	8888888	0	433	433 -5 -1,1%
2012-13	99 99 99 99 99 99	0	438	438 -0.9%
2011-12	22 23 28 28 23	o	442	442 -1 -0.2%
2010-11	99 44 49 44 49 44 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 4	0	443	443 -1 -0.2%
2009-10	28 6 6 4 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	0	4 4	444 1.1%
2008-09	2884884	o	439	439 7 1.6%
2007-08	66 68 69 69 77 72	0	432	432 8 1.9%
2006-07	244898	0	424	424 2 0.5%
2005-06	28 24 33 48 28 28 24 33 48 28	0	422	422 -9 -2.1%
2004-05	***************************************		£4	431 0.0%
2003-04	52 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	0	431	431 -7 -1.6%
2002-03	50 60 77 73 88	0	438	438 5 1.2%
2001-02	65 64 64 64 65 64 64	0	433	433 10 2.4%
2000-01	38 8 6 7 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0	423	423
	<u>አ</u> ተዛሠቆመ	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

McKinley Elementary

2013-14	8844888	=	450	450 -4 -0.9%
2012-13	8882226	=	454	454 3 .0.7%
2011-12	88888	=	457	457 4 4 -0.9%
2010-11	8 2 8 8 8 8 2	∓	461	461 -1.1%
2009-10	98 98 98 98	=	466	468 -2 -0.4%
2008-09	99 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 6	Ŧ	468	468 3 0.6%
2007-08	288488	Ξ	465	465 -2 -0.4%
2008-07	88 88 84 8 88 88 84 88	Ŧ	467	467 6 1.3%
2005-08	248 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Ξ	461	461 -3 -0.6%
2004-05	63 67 67 64 64 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	-	464	464 -4 -0.9%
2003-04	9 8 8 8 8 8 6 9	Ŧ	468	468 8 1.7%
2002-03	888448	\$	460	460 -8 -1.7%
2001-02	72 28 25 25 45 12 28 25 25 45 12 28 25 25 45 12 28 25 25 45 12 28 25 25 25 45 12 28 25 25 25 25 12 28 25 25 12 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 12 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	₽	468	468 23 5.2%
2000-01	62 62 70 70 88 88 88	12	445	445
	<u>ጸ</u> ተለጠ4 ው	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Nature Ridge Elementary

						0	10000	2007.0R	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2005 SO S	90-007	70-0007	2007					;	8
			,	q	a Y	e G	8	98	26	8	8	<u>ه</u>	28 18	8 3
¥	0	₽ <u>;</u>	S F	8 \$	3 &	28	8	83	66	8	97	8 .8	8 8	70
-	104		2 8	2 6	\$ £	98	88	8	8	8	}a :	88	6	76
2	108		5 6	4 6	8	107	84	87	9	\$	5 5	D (6 6	8
m	6		5 i	9 9	3 8	£	104	82	8	8	35	8 3	5 6	8 8
4	56		Ε:	2 8	4 6	8	£	102	₽	Z	88	ნ	\$ 8	8 8
w	5		\$:	25 8	ĕ	8 6	. 2	8	101	8	8	87	35	3
9	R	6	20	2	3	3					ı	¢	•	_
		ļ	Ş	•	C	0	O	0	0	0	5	>	>	,
Spec Ed	20	5	5	•	•	•				•		0	4	649
	ļ	Č	9	493	547	292	280	614	999	999	Ē	200	3	!
Total	267	RAC	8	2	; }							Caro	252	849
				***	153	587	269		646	98	540	700		7
Total	567	999	203	200	5	Š	33		S	우	\$	30	- 1	è
Change		33	& ;	유.	8 8	70%	4 1%	200	5.2%	-1.5%	1.6%	0.9%	1	.0.0 M
%-Change		5.6%	-15.0%	-3.1%	11.U%	27.75	-							

Oakhill Elementary

2013-14	113 113 123 101 101 101	ř		-1.5%
2012-13	55 52 52 52 52 53 54 55 55 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	-	28 E	7- 7- -0.9%
2011-12	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	0	790	.0.4%
2010-11	\$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$	0	793	783 1,4 1,4
2009-10	\$ 22 22 25 88 8	-	792	792 5 0.6%
2008-09	\$25 52 52 8 8 ·	0	787	787 11 1.4%
2007-08	25 22 25 28 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	0	776	776 12 1.6%
2006-07	\$5 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	٥	764	764 37 5.1%
2005-06	119 121 115 110 110 117	0	727	727 38 5.2%
2004-05	86 70 70 80 80 80	. 0	694	691 36 5.5%
2003-04	86 113 117 88 87	0	655	655 47 7.7%
2002-03	79 119 149 149 159 159	25	908	608 8.8%
50.400	25 116 116 116 117 117 117 117 117 117 117		559	559 24 4.5%
0	2000-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-	0	535	535
	⊼ ∸ላಬ4ගው	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Ontarioville Elementary

2013-14	828888	~	940	640 -8 -1.2%
2012-13	9999999	~	648	648 -6 -0.9%
2011-12	888888		654	954 -6 -0.9%
2010-11	88888	~	099	. 660 -5 -0.8%
2009-10	93 94 95 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96	-	999	985 8 1.2%
2008-09	383438 3	-	457	657 18 2.8%
2007-08	74888888	~	639	639 14 2.2%
2006-07	273888827	7	625	625 13 2.1%
2005-06	98 99 74 67	7	812	612 22 3.7%
2004-05	88 88 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 8	~	290	590 20 3.5%
2003-04	83 44 83 44 83 84 84 85 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	~	570	570 28 5.2%
2002-03		G	542	542 41 8.2%
2001-02	5 K 8 8 K 8 7		504	501 47 10.4%
2000.01	26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 2	; =	454	454
	⊼ተሪ1 የተመጠ ት	200	oper cu Total	Total Change %-Change

ntary
Eleme
reek
Otter C

2013-14	8828885	92	676	676 -9 -1.3%
2012-13	8 8 8 8 6 50	28	685	685 0 0.0%
2011-12	# 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	26	685	685 7 1.0%
2010-11	98 99 99 98 98 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 9	78	878	678 15 2.3%
2009-10	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	58	683	663 10 1.5%
2008-09	·28888228	28	653	653 38 5.8%
2007-08	93 98 72 72	56	617	617 32 5.5%
2006-07	88 82 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	92	288	585 37 6.8%
2005-08	80 73 75 75 75 75	82	548	548 29 5.6%
2004-05	72 72 86 86 72 73 74	26	519	519 50 10.7%
2003-04	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	56	469	489 61 15.0%
2002-03	288838388388	15	408	408
2001-02			·	
2000-01				
	⊼ ∸ ሪ/ የራ 4 የኦ ዕ	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Parkwood Elementary

2013-14	82426828	5	510	510 -0.8%
2012-13	77 74 74 74 75 69 69	5	514	514 -4 -0.8%
2011-12	F	42	518	518 -2. -0.4%
2010-11	8224234 8294344	72	520	520 -1 -0.2%
2009-10	57 5 5 5 C F 88	72	521	521 14 2.8%
2008-09	72 77 88 71 89 83	5	507	507 17 3.5%
2007-08	287733	12	490	490 12 2.5%
2006-07	26 8 4 6 2 8 8	12	478	478 19 4.1%
2005-06	72 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	5	459	459 10 2.2%
2004-05	3288248	12	449	449 10 2.3%
2003-04	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	12	439	439 0 0.0%
2002-03	8 8 9 9 9 4 6 8 9 9 4 4	22	439	439 -45 -9.3%
2001-02	72 73 73 88 88 88 88 88	42	484	484 62 14.7%
2000-01	62 79 73 74 74 75 75 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	8	422	422
	⊼ ⊢ላይ4හፍ	Spec Fig.	Total	Total Change %-Change

Prairieview Elementary

2013-14	7 2 2 2 3 3 4 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2	Φ	517	517 -15 -2.8%
2012-13	47.88.85.2	ထ	532	532 -14 -2.6%
2011-12	75 77 77 77 87	œ	546	546 -14 -2.5%
2010-11	F 28 28 25 F F	€	260	560 -13 -2.3%
2009-10	78 83 83 78 79	80	573	573 -6 -1.0%
2008-09	2828285	භ	929	579 -15 -2.5%
2007-08	8 8 8 8 2 7 8	€	594	594 -2 -0.3%
2006-07	28 28 28 38 38 36 37	€	296	596 -13 -2.1%
2005-08	92 92 93 76 76 85	60	609	609 -4 -0.7%
2004-05	97 94 93 90 90 79	60	813	613 -8 -1.3%
2003-04	88 97 90 80 88	₩.	621	621 -52 -7.7%
2002-03	8 to 8 6 8 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	တ်	673	673 -346 -34.0%
2001-02	5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		1,019	1,019 22 2.2%
2000-01	967 167 167 168 169 171	a	166	897
	X ← ഗ ພ 4 സ ຎ	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change

nentary
e Elei
Circ
Ridge

2013-14	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	49	622	622 -16 -2.5%
2012-13	88888	8	638	636 -16 -2.4%
2011-12	88883	6	654	654 -16 -2.4%
2010-11	93 88 83 84 83 88 83 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	48	029	670 -20 -2.9%
2009-10	\$ 8 8 8 5 <u>5</u>		069	690 -4 -0.6%
2008-09	98 96 90 100 701	₽	96	694 1.3%
2007-08	25 8 8 5 8 7 K	5	985	685 4 0.6%
2006-07	88 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	8	88	-681 -6 -0.9%
2005-06	8 2 8 8 8 8 5	₩.	687	687 6 1.2%
2004-05	97 12 87 87 108 78	9	629	679 0 0.0%
2003-04	115 88 104 93	8	679	679 30 4.6%
2002-03	92 92 93 93 93 93 93 85 85 85 85	2	. 649	649 -39 -5,7%
2001-02	88 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	29	989	688 56 6.8%
2000-01	\$ 50 CG CB	0	632	632
	<u>አ</u> ተላወ400	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Sheridan Elementary

56 57 71 58 61 75 68 62 89 92 103 92	85 86 86 89 89 89 89 89	28888433	75 79 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	86648	2882	62	•			
		•			90 73	882886	22 22 22 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	88 48 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 8	56 62 64 76 76 83	58 61 63 77 77
0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
532 531	534	534	556 545	537	534	5 6	519	501	487	476
		١	١	ļ	F34	25	519	Ē	487	
532 531	534	534				ර	-21	ç	* ;	
.22	7.8% 2.8%	0.0%	4.1% -2.0%	-1.5%	-0.6%	1.1%	3.9%	-3.5%	-2.8%	-2.3%
-4.U% -0.2.M	l		l							

Spring Trail Elementary

2000-01 20	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2008-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
•	8888	26 K E 8 4 8 8	90 76 742 142 94 88	88 27 77 89 89 83	86 89 87 72 72 88	88 87 88 87 17 103	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	3 3 3 3 3 3	28 2 2 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	8 8 8 8 8 6 6	79 82 83 74 75 76	8 12 8 8 8 5 7 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7	67 67 67 77 67 77
	0	O	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	.0
	980	677	630	621	615	908	578	584	578	220	292	554	544
7	680 -3.7 -5.2%	677 -3 -0.4%	630 -47 -6.9%	621 -9 -1.4%	615 .6 -1.0%	608 -9 -1,5%	578 -28 -4.6%	584 1.0%	578 -8 -1.0%	570 -8 -1.4%	562 -8 -1,4%	554 -8 -1.4%	544 -10 -1.8%

Sunnydale Elementary

2013-14	47 64 62 63 57	8	451	451 -7 -1.5%
2012-13	# & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	8	458	458 4. -0.9%
2011-12	44 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 8	33	462	462 -2 -0.4%
2010-11	46 70 67 65 65 61 61	33	464	464 1 0.2%
2009-10	4 ± 8 8 8 8 2 2	8	463	463 7 1.5%
2008-08	4 5 8 8 2 5 G	33	458	458 12 2.7%
2007-08	4 £ 8 8 2 £ 8 4	8	444	444 9 2.1%
2006-07	68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 6	33	435	435 9 -2.0%
2005-06	88 88 88 88 88 88	8	44	444 -1 -0.2%
2004-05	68 88 82 88 82 P	83	4 54	445 20 4.7%
2003-04	E 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82	33	425	425 -104 -19.7%
2002-03	8 2 2 2 2 8 2 2 8 2 2 8 2 2 8 2 2 8 2 2 8 2 2 8 2 2 8 2 2 8 2	28	529	529 1 0.2%
2001-02	35 24 24 24 24 24 24	83	528	528 -17 -3.1%
2000-01	888 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	56	545	545
	X − ഗഒ4 നമെ	SpecEd	Total	Total Change %-Change

tary
men
器
Vood
ашу
Stre

2013-14				
2012-13				ļ.
2011-12				
2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13				
2009-10				i
2008-09				
2004-05 2005-06 2008-07 2007-08				
2008-07				
2005-06				
2004-05				
2003-04				
2002-03	303	ო	329	329 -79 -19.4%
2001-02	355	8	408	408 -74 -15.4%
2000-01 2001-02 2002-03	424 49	o.	482	482
	ች - ሪላ ሳ 4 ro co	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %.Change

Sycamore Trails Elementary

2013-14	95 94 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	8 2	·	530 -7 -1.3%
2012-13	හු සි සි සි සි සි	78	537	537 .6 .1.1%
2011-12	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	78	543	543 -6 -1.1%
2010-11	65 67 69 69	78	549	. 549 -1.1%
2008-10	88888 627 647	78	922	555 -14 -2.5%
2008-08	69 69 68 71 77	78	88	589 -40 -6.8%
2007-08	8688229	82	609	609 -9 %2.1-
2006-07	86688 <u>8</u> 6	78	618	618 -24 -3.7%
2005-06	69 77 10 10 88	78	642	642 8 4
2004-05	55 25 55 25 55 25 55 25 55 25 55 25 55 25 55 25 55 25 55 25 55 25 2	78	920	650 -26 -3.8%
2003-04	68 68 78 84 84 85 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	78	876	678 -31 -4.4%
2002-03	78 108 78 100 119	0	707	707 -53 -7.0%
2001-02	107 78 102 87 87 116 427	7	760	760 -1.0.1%
2000-01	88 128 128 130	ro.	761	761
	⊼ ⊢ላ∾4ው©	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Washington Elementary

₹	T B B B C F B	0	4 I	404
2013-14	88 88 89 80 87 87		614	614 -9 -1,4%
2012-13	# 20 # 20 20 # # 50 # 50 # 50 # 50 # 50	CD .	623	623 -6 -1.0%
2011-12	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Ø	623	629 -1 -0.2%
2010-11	86 83 84 87	6	630	630 0.0%
2009-10	\$ \$ 2 2 2 8 E	G1	630	630 20 3.3%
2008-09	888228	CD.	610	610 16 2.7%
2007-08	98 98 89 70 70 70	G)	594	23 4.0%
2008-07	88 84 85 72 86 86 86 87 88	Ø.	571	571 5 0.9%
2005-06	98 92 98 74 74 83	o	566	586 38 7.2%
2004-05	98 70 70 86 74	O	528	528 20 3.9%
2003-04	88 74 74 74 89 89 88	6	508	508 25 5.2%
2002-03	65 88 88 88 88 88	, co	483	483 6 1.3%
2001-02	25 25 25 25 25 25	9	477	477 17 3.7%
2000-04	4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	10	460	460
	ች ተ ሪላ ፅ 4 ሰን ወ	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Wayne Elementary

2013-14	28833838	4	466	466 -5 -1.1%
2012-13	2000 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	7	471	471 -3 -0.6%
2011-12	8 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	4	474	474 -4 -0.8%
2010-11	8228328	4	478	-478 -3 -0.6%
2009-10	8828328	4	481	481 -1 -0.2%
2008-09	98 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	<u>‡</u>	482	482 1 0.2%
2007-08	52223	<u>*</u>	481	481 -7 -1.4%
2006-07	7222888	4	488	468 17 3.6%
2005-08	77 73 69 69 68 68 68	4	471	471 -18 -3.7%
2004-05	5 2 7 7 8 7 4 7 7 8 7 4 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	4	489	489 21 4.5%
2003-04	8288488	4	1 68	468 -72 -13.3%
2002-03	2652628	17	540	540 -262 -32.7%
2001-02	132 122 122 120 120 120 120	4	802	802 8.6% 8.6%
2000-01	\$11 811 811 811 811 811 811 811 811 811	Ξ	752	752
	⊼ ⊷ 0/ ω 4 π Φ	Spec Ed	Total	Totat Change %-Change

Willard Elementary

2013-14	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	349	349 -4 -1.1%
2012-13	84 4 4 4 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4	353	353 -2 -0.6%
2011-12	50 50 64 84 84 84	11	355 -3 -0.8%
2010-11	25 05 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	11 358	358 -3 -0.8%
2009-10	51 52 54 64 64 64 64	∓ &	361 -5 -1.4%
2008-09	8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	∓ %	386 4.1.
2007-08	64 22 22 22 28 E	11 370	370 -13
2006-07	55 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 5	11	383 1 0.3%
2005-06	4 8 2 7 8 8 8 4	11 382	382 -2 -0.5%
2004-05	06.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.	11 284	384 13 3.5%
2003-04	58 57 63 44 64 64	# 5	374 -3.6%
2002-03	88 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	12	385 7 1.9%
2001-02	74 99 72 53 54 54	1 5	378 30. 8.6%
2000-01	20 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	9	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
	オークひまでの	Spec Ed	rotal Total Change %-Change

Woodland Heights Elementary

2013-14	4 8 8 8 8 £ £	25	421	421 -8 -1.9%
2012-13	44 44 45 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	52	429	429 -7 -1.6%
2011-12	22 22 38 88 22 23 32 32 32 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33	22	436	436 -6 -1.4%
2010-11	84 67 69 69 69 69	25	442	442 -6 -1.3%
2009-10	64 L 88 88 25 25 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	25	448	448 -0.9%
2008-09	458882 8	22	452	452 -5 -1.1%
2007-08	# 25 5 28 28 28	22	457	457 0 0.0%
2008-07	4415882	52	457	457 5 1.1%
2005-08	85 C C S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	22	452	452 6 1.3%
2004-05	\$ 8 L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	. 25	446	446 -1 -0.2%
2003-04	40 44 47 69 69 69	25	447	447 18 4.2%
2002-03	4587842 45878422	- 23	429	429 -7 -1.6%
2001-02	94 77 64 64 64	23	436	436 13 3.1%
2000-01	24 77 77 43	22	423	423
	⊼∸ሣመቋቱው	Spec Ed	∓otał	Total Change %-Change

Illinois School District U-46: Total Elementary Enrollment

				Illinois ac			;	oral F) 		
	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
	2004	 - - 						,		6	45	2.078	3.044	2.958
:	•	000	2 476	3 043	3 087	3.134	3 18	3,182	2	<u>ر</u> -	5	200		
×	3,173	3,208	3,120	1 4		20.40	2 452	2.466	3.458	3,421	3,377	3,330	3,281	5,433
•	3,384	3,321	3,279	3,165		07450	100	007.0	2 422	3.424	3,385	3.339	3,291	3,245
	3.067	3.329	3,195	3,116	3,136	3,3/2	3,330	0,460	300	907	202	3.358	3,309	3,259
10	3000	3 033	3.264	3,127	3,094	3,115	3,346	3,370	5,530	904.0	2,00	9.264	1 222	3.270
יי	070-0	200	C C	2 130	11.2	3.073	3.090	3,324	9	9	٠ د د د	00'0	1 0 0	000
4	2,906	3,017	2,8,8	2	5	*100	ACO 6	2.040	3.280	3.286	3318	3,316	CDC'S	5,400
10	2,890	2,976	3,048	2,870	9,101	# /O'F	2000	9000	9021	3.748	3.262	3,284	3,282	3,272
œ	2.724	2,858	2,979	2,949	2,844	R90 5	1 4 0'0	900'5	30,0	<u>1</u>		•		
, 7 L	777	414	473	53	513	513	513	5†3	513	513	513	513	513	513
Spec Ed	770	<u>†</u>	·							3	6	020 620	780 00	23.018
Total	21,610	22,155	22,343	21,930	22,292	22,775	23,043	23,335	23,613	23,815	23,732	8/C'97	TC+CA	
				000	200	327.00	22.042	23.335	23.613	23,815	23,732	23,579	23,347	23,018
Total	21,610	22,155	22,343	3 5 7	767,22	767	986	500	27.B	202	8	-153	-232	-329
Change		毙	\$	-413	706 F	350	28. 28.	13%	2 %	%6.0	-0.3%	-0.6%	-1.0%	-1.4%
%-Change		2.5%	0.8%	-1.5%	8	7.7.7g	2						 - 	

Abbott Middle School

2013-14	550 519	56	1,095	1,095 14 1.3%
2012-13	546 509	8	1,081	1,085 19 1.8%
2011-12	536 500	83	1,062	1,062 23 2.2%
2010-11	526 487	92	1,039	1,039 18 1.8%
2009-10	513 484	8 2	1,023	1,023 26 2.6%
2008-09	506 462	26	266	987 10, 10%
2007-08	491 470	26	284	987 88 7.4%
2006-07	500 393	5 8	919	919 85 10.2%
2005-06	423 385	92	83	834 33 4.1%
2004-05	414 361	8	80	801 9 1.4%
2003-04	397 368	78	792	792 28 3.4%
2002-03	391 348	27	766	766 28 3.8%
2001-02	378 329	ઝ	738	738 10 1.4%
2000-01	371 328	29	728	728
		Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Canton Middle School

2013-14	421	425	98	984 4 4 4 8
2012-13	425 421	122	896	988 -0 -0.9%
2011-12	425 430	122	977	977 11 1.1%
2010-11	43 43 43	122	996	3.2%
2009-10	414 400	122	938	936 -12 -1.3%
2008-09	404	122	948	946 -0.3%
2007-08	426 403	123	951	951 6 0.6%
2006-07	415 408	123	945	945 -18 -1.9%
2005-08	424 420	12	963	963 10 1.0%
2004-05	4. 88	122	953	953 7 0.7%
2003-04	4 4 4 4	122	946	946 44 4.9%
2002-03	423 409	2	902	902
2001-02	411 406	82	800	898 18 2.0%
2000-01	422 415	4	881	881
	r- 60	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

ĸ
춫
iogra
Den
8
X
Σ
3an

_
0
ō
ž
ਹ
Ś
Φ
₹
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$
-
↽
ž
.=
_
-
ເກ
_
ш

2013-14	569 562	₹	1,174	1,174 -6 -0.5%
2012-13	573 564	5	1,180	1,180 -0.1%
2011-12	575 583	€	1,181	1,181 13 1.1%
2010-11	574 551	₹	1,168	1,168 7 0.6%
2009-10	262 556	43	1,181	1,161 -18 -1.5%
2008-09	567 589	5	1,179	1,179 -27 -2.2%
2007-08	581 582	€	1,206	1,206 44 3.8%
2006-07	594 525	43	1,162	1,162 30 2.7%
2002-08	536 553	43	1,132	1,132 -81 -5.1%
2004-05	564 506	4	1,193	1,193 19 1.6%
2003-04	533 833	43	1,174	1,174 25 22%
2002-03		ź	1,149	1,549 47 4.3%
2004-02	587	œ.	1,102	1,102 41 3.9%
10,000	493 493	3 8	106	1,061
	t~ α	3	opec Eu	Total Change %-Change

Gann-McKibben Demographics

Ellis Middle School

2013-14	416 390	83	864	864 2.5%	
2012-13	406 379	88	843	843 23 2.8%	
2011-12	395 367	28	820	820 32 4.1%	
2010-11	348	83	788	788 35 4.6%	
2009-10	363	器	753	753 49 7.0%	
2008-09	300	88	704	704 50 7.6%	
2007-08	312 284	28	654	654 25 25 4.0%	
2008-07	296 275	8	629	629 21 3.5%	
2005-06	286 264	88	909	608 -18 -2.9%	
2004-05	275 293	. 25	626	626 7 1.1%	
2003-04	305	ដ	619	619 84 15.7%	
2002-03	263	\$	232 1	535 139 35.1%	
2001-00	252	<u> </u>	396	396 -79 -16.6%	
2000	234	717	83 X	475	
	~ €	Đ)	SpecEd	Total Change	

Kimball Middle School

2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2010	437 433 431 423 378 424 420 418		55 56 55	55 55 912 906 8
Z003-10 Z	390 376		55	55 821
2008-09	388 410		22	823
2007-08	423		55	880
2006-07	410		55	55 869
2005-08	408 432		.	35 395
2004-05	432 471		15	55 958
2003-04	466 435		52	55 956
2002-03	421 388		₹	40
2001-02	384 385		€	43 812
2000-01	385	1	8	30
	r- 60	,	n coord	Spec Ed Total

Larsen Middle School

2013-14	443	89	945	945
2012-13	443 432	88	943	943 2 0.2%
2011-12	43.2 43.2	83	94	941 70 8.0%
2010-11	441 362	89	871	87.1 39 4.7%
2009-10	88 88	8	837	832 -24 -2.6%
2008-09	403 385	98	858	856 12 1.4%
2007-08	393	89	844	944 36 4.5%
2006-07	391 349	89	808	808 -6 -0.7%
2005-06	38	89	814	814 80 10.9%
2004-05	398	8	734	734 123 20.1%
2003-04	273 270	99	611	611 -180 -22.8%
2002-03	349 362	8	794	791 -33 -4.0%
2001-02	369 371	8	824	824 72 9.6%
2000-01	367 310	75	752	752
	7 8	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Tefft Middle School

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-08	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
۰ ۵	347		384	374	379 355	361 360	408 343	374 386	363	375 342	422 364	4 21	419 408	415 406
) (. 2	77	83	8	8	98	5	8	3	\$	94	इ	2 5	ቖ
open cu	2. 02.	740	763	831	828	815	843	854	810	8 7	880	924	921	915
					900	246	F843	854	18 190	811	880	924	924	915
Total	720	7 , 59	763 22	£ 6	9 F)	문	, 83	; -	4	- ;	8	4 6	<u>ئ</u> ئ	9,76
Change Change		2 %		%6.6 %6.6	-0.4%	-1.6%	3.4%	1.3%	-5.2%	1	8.5%	3.U%	-0.3%	Q:5 VB
78-CHBING			i											

ment
Enro
School
Widdle
Totall
•
U-46:
listrict U-46:
listrict U-46:

			<u>Hi</u>	Illinois Scho		ol District U-46: Total Middle School Enforment	46: -(otal Mic	idle oc		пгопп	1112		
	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-08	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
≻ 8	2,629 2,484	2,710 2,428	2,756 2,622	2,823 2,640	2,895 2,732	2,791 2,804	3,012 2,697	3,000 2,910	2,970 2,911	2,986 2,885	3,216 2,900	3,226 3,125	3,243 3,133	3,237 3,150
Spec Ed	309	373	377	466	468	466	486	466	466	466	466	466	468	466
Total	5,422	5,511	5,755	5,929	6,093	6,061	6,175	6,376	6,347	6,337	6,582	6,817	6,842	6,853
Total Change %-Change	5,422	5,511 89 1.6%	5,755 244 4.4%	5,929 174 3.0%	6,093 164 2.8%	6,061 -32 -0.5%	6,175 114 1.9%	8,376 201 3.3%	6,347 -29 -0.5%	6,337 -10 -0.2%	6,582 245 3.9%	6,817 235 3.6%	6,842 25 0.4%	6,853 11 0.2%

Bartlett High School

2013-14	877 788 683 603	207	3,158	3,158 32 1.0%
2012-13	875 750 677 617	207	3,126	3,126 19 0.6%
2011-12	833 744 693 630	207	3,107	3,107 33 1,1%
2010-11	827 761 708 57 1	207	3,074	3,074 1 0.0%
2009-10	846 778 642 600	207	3,073	3,073 4 0.1%
2008-09	864 706 874 818	207	3,069	3,069 64 2.1%
2007-08	784 741 694 579	207	3,005	3,005 12 0.4%
2006-07	823 763 651 549	207	2,993	2,993 51 1.7%
2005-06	848 715 617 555	207	2,942	2,942 11 0.4%
2004-05	794 678 624 628	207	2,931	2,931 40 1.4%
2003-04	753 686 706 539	207	2,891	2,891 -99 -3.3%
2002-03	784 819 642 614	1 3	2,990	2,990 47 1.6%
2001-02	817 650 832 646	198	2,943	2,943 72 2.5%
2000-01	705 878 707 588	193	2,871	2,871
	o 0 # 2	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Elgin High School

					1	0000	70 9000	30.730R	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2002-00	2000-05	20-7007						
e 5 £ £	618 537 408	587 533 357	842 513 473 433	603 578 457 403	623 531 509 398	654 548 467 443	730 576 482 406	700 642 507 419	753 616 565 441	768 663 542 492	797 676 583 472	785 701 595 507	872 691 617 518	882 767 608 537
4 T	2	‡0 <u>‡</u>	137	273	273	273	273	273	273	273	273	273	273	273
nu nado	2 8	, S	5	2.314	2,334	2,385	2,467	2,541	2,648	2,738	2,801	2,861	2,971	3,067
ਲ ਨੂੰ –	5,003	7,000		<u> </u>		9	7 457	9 KA4	2 648	2.738	2,801	2,861	2,971	3,067
Total	2,003	2,056	2, 198 142	2,31 4 116	2,334 20	2, 55, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51	65 67 7	7 7	107	6 %	383	ر چ 69	110 3.8%	3.2%
Change %-Change		2.6%	6.9%	5.3%	0.8%	2.2%	3.4%	8.0.9 0.0.9	4.278	6.43				

Larkin High School

2013-14	870 805 645 580	196	3,096	3,096 54 1.8%
2012-13	868 750 844 586	198	3,042	3,042 54 1.8%
2011-12	806 749 651 586	196	2,988	2,988 43 1.5%
2010-11	805 757 651 536	196	2,845	2,945 29 1.0%
2009-10	814 757 598 553	98	2,916	2,916 45 1.6%
2008-09	814 893 614 554	196	2,671	2,871 65 2.3%
2007-08	745 714 615 536	6	2,806	2,806 28 1.0%
2006-07	788 715 595 504	1 98	2,778	2,778 56 2.1%
2005-08	769 692 560 505	196	2,722	2,722 70 2.6%
2004-05	744 651 561 500	196	2,652	2,652 96 3.8%
2003-04	700 652 558 452	2	2,556	2,556 91 3.7%
2002-03	700 629 538 480	18	2,465	2,485 134 5.7%
2001-02	670 620 520 420	Ē	2,331	2,331 196 9.2%
2000-01	597 600 448 346	144	2,135	2,135
	e 6 £ 6	Spec Ed	Total	Total Change %-Change

Streamwood High School

2013-14	702 618 540 450	Ŧ	2,39‡	2,391	4. %	
2012-13	710 573 495 470	-	2,359	2,359	2,2 2,2 3,4	
2011-12	659 556 517 488	Ξ	2,311	2,311	18 0.7%	
2010-11	639 581 514 450	11	2,295	2,285	% % ?	
2009-10	968 577 494 466	Ξ	2,316	2,316	% %	P. 7.
2008-09	663 555 512 447	1	2,288	2,288	4 9	0.0%
2007-08	638 575 491 459	ŧ	2,274	2.274	17	%R.D
2006-07	661 552 504 429	*	2,257	2.257	15	%/.0
2005-06	634 566 471 460	#	2,242	2 242	₽	-2.6%
2004-05	650 529 506 506	#	2,301	2 204	- 1-	0.3%
2003-04	608 569 555	-	2,308	900	20°,2	2.6%
2002-03	654 577 496 431	8	2,249		2,249 404	4.8%
50	985 563 494	12	2,145		2,145 211	10.9%
0	584 561 375	3 8	1934		1,934	
	o t t t	2 7	Spec Eu		Total	%-Change

·	

Gann-McKibben Demographics

Illinois School District U-46: Total High School Enrollment
E E
00
Sch
ligh
tal T
٩
-46
t T
istri
0
cho
is S
lino

								•	>					
				2000	2004.05	2005-08	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	F0-C002					•	0	0000	2.083	3.323	3,331
			0	2 864	2.811	2,905	2,982	2,867	308 1	20.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	2 C	2.750	2.764	2,978
රා	2,504		6,700 6,700 6,700	2.485	2,389	2,521	2,606	2,672	2,570	Z, C, C	9 20 0	2,458	2,433	2,446
6	2,376		2,030	2 2 7 4	2,200	2,115	2,232	2,307	7,39	7,4,4	000 c	2.191	2,191	2,170
= :	1,938	9LL ² 7	4.13	1,859	2,031	1,963	1,888	1,993	2,060	7	,	ī	•	
7	1		2						ļ	ř	787	787	787	787
	į		477	787	787	787	787	787	787	ē	ē	į		
Spec Ed	1 8	8	i	<u>.</u>				1	6		11 115	11.267	11,498	11,712
Ţ	6	0.475	9.902	10,069	10,218	10,291	10,495	10,626	0/8/0L	2	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		
otal	2			,					01007	44.042	11 115	11.287	11,498	11,712
		١	000	40.089	10,218	10,291	10,495	10,626	0/0/OL	5 4	2	152	234	214
Totai	S,943	b	100	187	149	73	5 5	.		2 4	76.0	1.4%	2.1%	1.9%
Change		325	704	74	1.5%	0.7%	2.0%	1.2%	2.4%	B/C:-				
%-Change		F. C.	5,2											

Illinois School District U-46: Total Enrollment

Karalana		2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-08	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
No.	2	ć.	900	404	3.042	3.087	3.134	3.165	3,182	3,165	3,139	3,109	3,078	3,044	2,958
1 3,344 3,324 3,324 3,324 3,427 3,427 3,427 3,324 3,324 3,329 3,32	⊻ '	6,173	3,200	9 6	10.4	1 404	3438	3.453	3.488	3,458	3.421	3,377	3,330	3,281	3,235
2 3,007 3,322 3,324 4,324 2,425 3,117 3,049 3,117 3,049 3,027 3,049 3,029 3,04	-	3,384	1,327	2 14 2	3 0	7 0	200	200	3.423	3.433	3.424	3,385	3,339	3,291	3,245
3 3,028 3,037 3,229 4,127 3,109 3,117 3,099 3,299 3,399 3,391 3,371 3,399 3,399 3,371 3,299 4,127 3,109 3,10	2	3,067	3,329	3,195	5,116	0, -00 0,	4.00	970	2770	300	3.406	3,397	3,358	3,309	3,259
4 2,986 3017 2,874 3,130 3,113 3,074 3,089 3,280	EQ.	3,029	3,032	3,284	3,127	480.0	0.00		200	200	886	3.3.1	3.361	3.322	3,270
Fig. 2580 2277 3 2478 3.049 2.844 2.847 3.147 3.047 3.048 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.047 3.049 3.	4	2,966	3,017	2,879	3,130	3,113	3,0,5	080'5	6000	9	300	, e	9.318	3 305	3.288
The control of the co	40	2,890	2,976	3,048	2,870	101,6	470,6	400,0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0	100 6	976	0.50	3.284	3,282	3.272
Total Name	5	2,724	2,858	2,979	2,949	2,844	990'6	200	0000	20,0		, c	5.5	513	513
Total Elem 21610 22,155 22,343 21,320 24,02 2,022 2,040 2,022 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,000 2,017 2,01	ilem: Spec Ed	377	7	473	3	513	375 00	30.0	22 225	23.8.13	23.815	23.732	23,579	23,347	23,018
7. S. Sept. B. 2.770 2.786 2.883 2.787 3.012 3.010 2.971 2.986 2.990 2.971 3.012 3.000 2.971 2.986 2.990 2.971 3.012 3.000 2.971 2.986 2.990 3.125 3.726 3.276 3.125 3.726 3.125 3.726 3.726 3.125 </td <td>Total: Elem</td> <td>21,610</td> <td>22,155</td> <td>22,343</td> <td>21,930</td> <td>282,23</td> <td>27,779</td> <td>69,043</td> <td>000,000</td> <td>20,01</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td><u>.</u> <u>.</u></td> <td><u>.</u></td> <td></td>	Total: Elem	21,610	22,155	22,343	21,930	282,23	27,779	69,043	000,000	20,01		1	<u>.</u> <u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	
7 2,555 4 2,470 2,710 3,725 2,640 2,672 2,600 2,672 2,910 2,911 2,915 2,915 3,090 3,193 3,	1	,	9	9 F	0.000	2 304	2 794	3.012	3,000	2,970	2,986	3,216	3,226	3,243	3,237
St. Spec E6	! ~	2,629	2,710	200	070'7	200	2804	2.697	2.910	2.911	2,885	2,900	3,125	3,133	3,150
St. Spec Ed. 319 3/3 5/35 6/35 6/35 6/36 6/36 6/17 8/37 6/37 6/37 6/37 6/37 6/37 6/37 6/37 6	*	2,484	2,428	770'7	989	488	188	488	468	466	466	466	466	466	466
9 2.504 2.739 2.740 2.644 2.811 2.905 2.962 2.967 2.9570 2.775 2.7	MS: Spec Ed	606	779	- 10 A 755	000	A 093	8.081	8.175	8,376	6,347	6,337	6,582	9,817	6,842	6,853
9 2,504 2,736 2,736 2,746 2,844 2,841 2,905 2,982 2,867 3,094 3,096 3,008 3,003 3,023 3,223 11 1,337 2,776 2,776 2,776 2,776 2,776 2,776 2,784 2,784 1,284 1,285 2,786 2,784 2,786 2,784 2,280 2,146 2,274 2,280 2,146 2,274 2,280 2,147 2,280 2,147 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2,274 2,280 2,148 2	Total: Mo	2746		5	2	1	<u>}</u>	•							,
10 2.376 2.366 2.536 2.445 2.289 2.451 2.606 2.572 2.570 2.775 2.775 2.775 2.779 2.479 1 1 1,835 2.166 1.859 2.474 2.2450 2.774 2.2450 2.774 2.431 1 1,835 2.149 1.850 1.859 2.274 2.2450 2.777 2.355 2.367 2.367 2.367 2.367 2.367 2.367 2.367 2.367 2.367 2.365 2.774 2.459 2.493 2.493 2.491 2.191 2.191 2.191 2.191 2.32 2.347 2.493 2.491 2.191 2.191 2.191 2.191 2.32 2.341 2.341 2.341 38,000 37,928 38,603 39,127 39,713 40,337 40,839 41,195 41,429 41,883 41,687 1.1498 2.348 2.	o	2 504	2.739	2.780	2,664	2,811	2,905	2,962	2,867	3,094	3,096	3,068	3,083	3,323	3,331
11 1,836 2,116 2,149 2,274 2,200 2,116 2,232 2,307 2,355 2,274 2,459 2,459 2,459 2,191 1,834 1,789 1,789 1,895 1,895 1,895 1,888 1,895 2,006 2,111 2,029 2,191 2,191 1,834 1,789 1,895 1,8	, E	2378	2,368	2,538	2,485	2,389	2,521	2,606	2,672	2,570	2,775	2,775	2,750	2,784	200
1. 1,50. 1,789 1,898 1,898 1,893 2,031 1,893 1,888 1,993 2,060 2,111 2,029 2,191 2,191 1,193 1,194 1,1	2 ;	0 0	9 4 18	9 149	2.274	2,200	2,115	2,232	2,307	2,385	2,274	2,458	2,456	2,433	2,446
S. Spec Ed. 481 483 477 787 787 787 787 787 787 787 787 787	= =	460	700	40.4	48.59	2.034	1,963	1,588	1,993	2,060	2,11	2,029	2, 19	2,191	2,170
St. Spec Ed 481 482 9475 9502 10,099 10,218 10,281 10,495 10,626 10,876 11,043 11,115 11,267 11,489	7 1		807	200	787	787	787	787	787	787	787	787	787	787	787
Total 35,975 37,141 36,000 37,926 36,603 39,127 36,713 40,337 40,836 41,195 41,429 41,667 41,687 41,687 41,687 41,687 41,195 41,429 41,687 41,687 41,687 41,687 41,687 41,195 41,429 41,687 41,489 41,687 41,489 41,687 41,489 41,687 41,489 41,	HS: Spec Ed Telei: HS	8,943	9,475	9,902	10,089	10,218	10,291	10,495	10,628	10,878	11,043	11,115	11,287	11,498	11,712
Total 35,975 37,141 36,000 37,926 36,603 39,127 36,713 40,337 40,636 41,429 41,429 41,003 41,687 41,429 41,687 41,687 41,687 41,687 41,429 41,687 41,38 41,687 41,38 41,687 41,38 41,687 41,38		<u>1</u>	:								;		000	F 60 77	64 500
96 35,976 37,141 39,000 37,926 38,603 39,127 39,713 40,337 40,836 41,195 41,429 41,683 41,687 24 ge 1,166 B59 -72 675 524 566 624 499 359 234	Total	35,975	37,141	38,000	37,928	38,603	39,127	39,713	40,337	40,838	41,195	41,429	41,063	100'14	Poc. 14
ge 1,166 656 -72 675 524 566 624 499 356 234 2334 234 234 2334 234 234 2334 234 234 2334 234 234 2334 2		35.975	37.141	38,000	37,928	38,603	39,127	39,713	40,337	40,838	41,195	41,429	41,683	41,687	41,583
5.2% 2.3% -0.2% 1.6% 1.5% 1.6% 1.2% 0.6% 0.6% 0.1% 0.6% 0.1% n 21,610 22,165 22,343 21,830 22,775 23,043 23,335 23,613 23,815 23,732 23,579 0.1%	9000	<u>}</u>	1.188	829	-72	675	524	586	624	66≯	8	234	734	77	-104
n 21,610 22,165 22,343 21,830 22,775 23,043 23,335 23,613 23,815 23,732 23,579 23,347 2.6% 1.8 -413 362 483 268 292 278 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% -6.37 -6.3 -6.3 -6.6% -1.0% 2.6% 0.8% -1.8% 1.7% 2.2% 1.2% 1.2% 0.9% -0.3% -0.6% -1.0% 2.6% 0.8% -1.8% -1.7% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 0.9% -0.3% -0.6% -1.0% 2.6% 2.44 1.74 1.84 -32 114 201 -29 -10 246 235 26 25 -10 26 236 26 25 25 16 246 236 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	Chamos	•	3.2%	2.3%	0.2%	1.8%	7.	1.5%	1.6%	1.2%	6.0	9.6%	0.6%	0.1% 	0.2%
21,610 22,155 22,343 21,890 22,775 23,043 23,043 23,615 23,732 23,579 23,347 545 188 -413 362 483 286 292 276 202 -83 -153 -232 2.5% 0.8% -1.8% 1.7% 2.2% 1.2% 1.2% 0.9% -0.3% -0.6% -1.0% 5.42 1.84 -1.8% 1.7% 2.2% 1.2% 1.2% 0.9% -0.3% -0.6% -1.0% 89 244 174 184 -32 114 201 -29 -10 28 6,817 6,347 6,347 6,382 6,817 9,842 89 244 174 184 -32 114 201 -29 -10 28 236 235 236 16% 4.4% 3.0% 2.6% 1.9% 3.3% -0.2% 3.8% 0.4% 532 427 167 1															
545 188 -413 362 483 268 292 276 -63 -153 -232 2.5% 0.8% -1.8% 1.7% 2.2% 1.2% 1.3% 1.2% 0.9% -0.3% -0.6% -1.0% 5,422 5,511 5,755 5,829 6,083 6,061 6,175 6,347 6,337 6,562 6,017 6,084 89 244 174 -184 -32 114 201 -29 -10 246 235 25 4:6% 4.4% 3.0% 2.6% 1.9% 3.3% -0.5% -0.5% 3.3% -0.5% 3.8% 0.4% 8,9475 9,902 10,089 10,218 10,284 13,3% -0.5% 10,6% 11,489 11,489 532 427 167 149 73 204 131 250 187 12% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 1.4% 2.1% 5.9% 4.5%	at Elsm	7 840	22,165	22.343	21.830	22,292	22,775	23,043	23,335	23,613	23,815	23 732	23,579	23,347	23,018
2.5% 0.8% -1.8% 1.7% 2.2% 1.2% 1.2% 1.2% 0.9% -0.3% -0.8% -1.0% -1) :	275	188	413	362	483	268	292	278	202	#	-153	-232	-329
5,422 5,511 5,755 5,829 6,093 6,061 6,175 6,376 6,347 6,337 6,552 6,017 6,842 69.42 69.42 69.44 174 184 .32 114 201 .29 .10 246 235 25 25 16% 4.4% 3.0% 2.6% 1.5% 1.9% 3.3% -0.5% -0.2% 3.8% 0.4% 0.4% 3.0% 2.6% 10,291 10,495 10,626 10,876 11,043 11,115 11,267 11,489 532 427 167 149 73 2.04 131 2.50 187 72 152 231 5.9% 4.5% 1,7% 1.5% 0.7% 2.0% 1.2% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 1.4% 2.1% 2.1%	Chande		2.5%	0.0%	-1.8%	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	0.9%	-0.3%	-0.6%	1.0%	1.4%
5,422 5,554 5,829 6,083 6,061 6,175 6,376 6,347 6,337 6,582 6,017 0,842 60 244 174 184 -32 114 201 -29 -10 246 235 25 1,6% 4,4% 3.0% 2,6% -0.5% 1,9% -0.5% -0.2% 3.8% 0.4% 8,943 9,475 9,902 10,069 10,291 10,485 10,626 10,626 10,626 10,626 10,626 10,626 10,626 10,626 10,626 10,626 10,627 11,489												٠		,	
6,943 9,475 9,902 10,069 10,291 10,495 1.2% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 1.5% 2.6% 2.0% 1.2% 2.6% 2.0% 1.2% 2.4% 1.5% 2.0% 1.2% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 2.0% 1.2% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 1.4% 2.1% 2.1% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 1.4% 2.1% 2.1% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 1.4% 2.1% 2.1%	SPE ME	A 422	5.54	5.755	5.829	6,093	6,061	6,175	6,376	6,347	6,337	6,582	6,617	9,842	6,853
1.6% 4.4% 3.0% 2.8% -0.5% 1.9% 3.3% -0.5% -0.2% 3.8% 3.8% 0.4% 0.4% (1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 1.6% 1.0.	181. 193 5005	4	g	244	17	184	Ŋ	Ť	2	Ŗ	10	246	235	25	Ŧ
8,943 9,475 9,902 10,069 10,218 10,291 10,485 10,626 10,878 11,043 11,115 11,267 11,488 532 427 167 149 73 204 131 250 187 72 152 231 5.9% 4,5% 1,7% 1,5% 0,7% 2,0% 1,2% 2,4% 1,5% 0,7% 1,4% 2,1%	Change		1.6%	**	3.0%	2.8%	-0.5%	\$.9%	3.3%	-0.5%	·0.2%	3.8%	3.6%	0.4×	0.2%
8,943 9,475 9,902 10,069 10,216 10,291 10,485 10,626 10,876 11,043 11,115 11,267 11,489 532 427 167 149 73 204 131 250 187 72 152 231 5.9% 4,5% 1,7% 1,5% 0,7% 2,0% 1,2% 2,4% 1,5% 0,7% 1,4% 2,1%							;								
532 427 167 149 73 204 131 250 167 72 152 231 5.9% 4.5% 1.7% 1.5% 0.7% 2.0% 1.2% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 1.4% 2.1%	\$ 1	8.943	8,475	9,902	10,069	10,218	10,291	10,485	10,626	10,878	11,043	11,115	11,267	11,488	11,712
5.6% 4.5% 1.7% 1.5% 0.7% 2.0% 1.2% 2.4% 1.5% 0.7% 1.9% 4.1%	ange	<u>.</u>	532	427	167	149	2	2	134	<u> </u>	193	27	152	231	77.
	Change		5.9%	4.5%	-7×	1.5%	0.73	2.0%	1.2%	2.4%	1.5%	5	4	<u>*</u>	

Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 118 of 121 PageID #:118

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 3

Population Growth (Projected Through 2014) (Summary of McKibben and Gann, Ex. C)

-	4	Stable
Bartlett (-77)	Century Oaks (+226)	Clinton (+12)
Centennial (-91)	Channing (+649)	Liberty (-3)
Creekside (-127)	Coleman (+131)	Lowrie (+10)
Fox Meadow (-179)	Garfield (+206)	McKinley (+5)
Glenbrook (-175)	Harriet Gifford (+75)	Ridge Circle (-10)
Hanover (-100)	Hawk Hollow (+77)	Willard (+1)
Hillcrest (-120)	Heritage (+107)	Woodland Heights (-2)
Horizon (-183)	Highland (+121)	
(26-) JJnH	Illinois Park (+135)	
Prairieview (-480)	Laurel Hill (+207)	
Sheridan (-78)	Lords Park (+107)	
Spring Trail (-173)	Nature Ridge (+82)	
Sunnydale (-94)	Oakhill (+236)	
Sycamore Trails (-231)	Ontarioville (+186)	
Wayne (-286)	Otter Creek (+268)	
	Parkwood (+88)	
	Washington (+154)	

Case: 1:05-cv-00760 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/07/05 Page 120 of 121 PageID #:120

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 4

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:

DR. CONNIE NEALE AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION

FROM:

JIM FEUERBORN

SUBJECT:

BOUNDARY ISSUES SUPPORTIVE INFORMATION

DATE:

MARCH 15, 2004

Listed below is some supporting information related to the proposed school attendance boundaries:

- The operational costs for the 38 mobile classrooms that we are using at the elementary and middle schools this year cost the district approximately \$3,690.00 each per year. We should be able to eliminate the use of these mobiles thus saving approximately \$140,220.00 per year.
- We are currently transporting the elementary age children living in the "Poplar Creek" area to 12 schools. The proposed boundaries could reduce this to as few as two schools if the home schools are able to provide for their programmatic needs.
- The students in the "Poplar Creek" area are currently bused between 14.2 and 2.4 miles roundtrip on a daily basis. The proposed boundary would reduce this to 5.8 and 2.8 miles roundtrip. Time on the bus for the boys and girls will be greatly reduced.
- The former Wing School area is currently bused to 16 elementary schools; the proposed boundaries could result in students being bused to two sites and able to walk to a third site if the home schools are able to provide for their programmatic needs.
- The students in the former Wing School area are currently being bused between 18.0 and 2.0 miles roundtrip depending on which of the 16 schools they are assigned. Based on the proposed boundaries the roundtrip travel for these students would be 3.0 to 2.0 miles per roundtrip.
- There are currently 5,179 K-6 students in the Bilingual program, of these 2,625 are currently being bused. Based on the proposed boundaries we have identified approximately 1,469 additional Bilingual students who could walk to their home schools.
- The reduction in the transportation of Bilingual students has the potential savings of between \$300,000 and \$400,000.
- Travel for the parents of these children will also be greatly reduced.